Diagnostic Accuracy of Acute Appendicitis by Ultrasound in Hospital Emergency
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Abstract

Background
Acute appendicitis is the most common medical condition requiring immediate abdominal surgery. Medical ultrasound is a non-intrusive, non-expensive and available diagnostic method. In this study, the accuracy of ultrasound in diagnosis of acute appendicitis in hospital emergency was evaluated.

Materials and Methods
This retrospective cohort study was performed at Ahvaz Imam Khomeini hospital (Ahvaz city, Iran). The records of outpatient and inpatient of this hospital were studied to extract demographic information about the patients and radiological reports indicating the occurrence or exclusion of acute appendicitis and post-appendectomy report to allow for results comparison. Patient from 5-70 years included, with clinical suspicious to acute appendicitis, pathologic report also reviewed as gold standard of diagnosis.

Results
A total of 163 study subjects met the inclusion criteria, the age of the subjects ranged from 6 to 63 years. The accuracy, sensitivity and specificity were 98.1, 96 and 100%, respectively. The positive predictive value was 100%, while the negative predictive value was 82.35%. Diagnostic accuracy was 100% for the under-15 age group and 94.06% for the above 15 years age group.

Conclusion
The results showed that the medical ultrasound reports could be considered more credible in diagnosing acute appendicitis in under-15 male subjects which paves the way for more accurate planning of treatment and presenting patients with abdominal pains for surgery.
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**1- INTRODUCTION**

Acute appendicitis is the most common medical condition requiring immediate abdominal surgery (1). In most institutions, ultrasound has become the first-line imaging modality for the evaluation of appendix in the pediatric population (2). Although clinical symptoms of acute appendicitis are characterized by pains that begin in the region of the umbilicus and then "localizes" into the right lower quadrant known as McBurney's point; there is also elevation of neutrophilic white blood cells which are well-defined in more than 70% of the cases (3). The clinical symptoms of appendicitis may considerably overlap with other causes of abdominal pains (4). This necessitates the use of other diagnostic treatments, especially fast and available methods (5). Therefore, in 30% of the cases, it is impossible to have a definitive diagnosis before performing the surgery (6). Among the various imaging techniques, medical ultrasound as an available, nonintrusive technique is the first-line diagnostic imaging examination for most patients suspected of developing appendicitis with ambiguous clinical evidence (7).

Almost 10% of the population develops acute appendicitis (8). Despite access to newer diagnostic methods, the number of misdiagnosed cases of appendicitis remained fixed between 1987 and 1997 (15.3%) (9, 10). The rate of negative appendectomy in females was higher than this and was 23.2% during their fertile years. The highest rate of negative appendectomy was recorded in females over 80 years (11). Computed Tomography (CT) with a sensitivity of 96% and specificity of 97% used to be the first-line method for the examination of acute abdominal pain (12, 13). However, considering the tendencies of patients to avoid exposure to x-ray and the increased risk of developing cancer as a result of using this method (13), efforts have been made to reduce the exposure to x-ray while undergoing diagnostic tests, particularly in children. Acute appendicitis is an important disease requiring immediate abdominal surgery (14), its timely and correct diagnosis could considerably reduce its severe and unpleasant complications. Despite the existence of advanced diagnostic treatments, a significant number of patients initially diagnosed with acute appendicitis show negative laparotomy. Since medical ultrasound is a non-intrusive, non-expensive and available diagnostic method, we attempted to study the accuracy of this method.

**2- MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**2-1. Design and Setting**

This retrospective cohort study was performed at Ahvaz Imam Khomeini hospital (Ahvaz city, Iran) with 2,160 emergency department visits per year.

**2-2. Inclusion criteria of study**

The study population included patients between 5 to 70 years of age who presented with symptoms indicative of acute appendicitis. Consecutive emergency department patients between May 10, 2014 and April 31, 2015 were registered.

**2-3. Exclusion criteria of study**

Patients were excluded if they left before being officially discharged or if they had a previous appendectomy or the ultrasound order was not placed by an emergency physician.

**2-4. Methods and Measurements**

Since this research was informed by the hospital and the clinical information, the records of the patients were collected from the archives of the hospital. The records of out-patient and in-patient of this hospital were studied to get the necessary information about the patients such as their
gender, age, the initial radiological reports indicating the occurrence or non-occurrence of acute appendicitis and post-appendectomy report to allow for the comparison of results.

2-5. Analysis
Chi-squared and T-tests were administered using SPSS software version 20 to examine, assess and measure the sensitivity, specificity, positive predicted value (PPV) and negative predicted value (NPV) of the collected data. The level of significance for the above-mentioned tests was set at \( p < 0.05 \).

3- RESULTS
A total of 163 study subjects met the inclusion criteria (Figure.1, STARD flow diagram); 61.34\% (n=100) of the study subjects were female. The age of the subjects ranged from 6 to 63 years (Table.1 Patient Characteristics). For better analyses of the study population, the subjects were categorized into two groups of under 15 years of age and over 15. A total of 93 participants were under 15 years i.e. 57.05\% of the whole population while 70\% of participants were over 15 years of age or 42.95\% of the population. The accuracy, sensitivity and specificity were 98.1, 96 and 100\%, respectively. The positive predictive value was 100\%, and the negative predictive value was 82.35\% (Table.2, Diagnostic accuracy). The following results were obtained for all the patients based on the initial medical Ultrasound and by comparing it with the post-surgery diagnoses of surgeons.

3-1. Results in the studied female subjects
Of the 100 assessed female individuals, 85 subjects had a positive medical ultrasound and consequently underwent surgery during which evidence of appendicitis was observed. In 15 cases where the evidence of appendicitis ultra-sonography evidence was not observed, we had 6 misdiagnoses (Table.2 Diagnostic accuracy). These patients were relieved of their pains after administering medical abdominal treatments and released later while 4 cases were false negative.

3-2. Results in the studied male subjects
Of the 63 assessed male individuals, 44 (70\%) individuals had a positive medical ultrasound which was compatible with in-surgery diagnoses. In the remaining 19 cases which were negative based on medical ultrasound examinations, there were two cases of incorrect medical ultrasound results (Table.2, Diagnostic accuracy).

3-3. Results in the studied under-15 years old subjects
This category covered 93 patients, the medical ultrasound results and post-surgery diagnoses which were compatible (Table.2, Diagnostic accuracy).

3-4. Results in the studied over-15 years old subjects
This category covered 70 patients with 50 cases of positive medical ultrasound results which were compatible with in-surgery diagnoses. The remaining 20 medical ultrasound results were negative for acute appendicitis, 6 of which were misdiagnoses (false negative) (Table.2, Diagnostic accuracy).

Table-1: Baseline Characteristics of patients (n = 163)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>63 (38.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>100 (61.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15&gt;years</td>
<td>93 (57.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15≤years</td>
<td>70 (42.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age range (year)</td>
<td>6-63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2: Sensitivity and Specificity Values for Age and Gender With the Use of Ultrasound for the Diagnosis of Acute Appendicitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Number of patient</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>PPV (%)</th>
<th>NPV (%)</th>
<th>Diagnostic accuracy (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>73.33</td>
<td>94.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>97.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15&gt;years</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15≤years</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>94.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>82.35</td>
<td>98.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4- DISCUSSION

In this research, the accuracy of ultrasound in diagnosis of acute appendicitis in hospital emergency was evaluated. This study showed that the highest sensitivity was observed in under-15 male subjects considering the results obtained from different age groups, studying the genders and the applied study objectives which were in position of trust on the part of surgeons in medical ultrasound reports. Specificity and PPV were 100% for both age and gender groups. However, NPV and diagnostic accuracy were higher in under-15 male subjects. A previous study reported that in children, ultrasound helps to reduce negative appendectomy and perforation rate (16). The results obtained from a study in 2014 showed that the sensitivity and specificity of ultrasound is 92.3%, and 94.7% (16), respectively. Another study showed that ultrasonography has high accuracy in diagnosing acute appendicitis and reduces negative appendectomies (17). But D'Souza et al. (2015) showed that ultrasonography commonly does not visualize the appendix, and has a low sensitivity for appendicitis (18). The diagnosis of a normal appendix on
ultrasound seems sufficiently accurate to deprive appendicitis with trust, while positive ultrasound should be expounded in continuity with the clinical aspect in impressing the decision to operate (19). Using ultrasound and CT-scan should normally be done to detect acute appendicitis. However, in terms of its benefits, ultrasound should be the first step (20) in the care of patients with lower abdominal pain after physical examination (21), and it plays an important role in assessing appendicitis in pregnant women, especially in the first trimester, and often contributes to a definite situation (22). Although the use of ultrasound as the first method of imaging for the diagnosis of appendicitis in children has increased over the past 5 years, more than 40% of children still undergo CT scan during their pre-operation assessment (23). Ultrasound may be a useful tool for examining children suspected of appendicitis, regardless of age and gender (24).

In children with suspected acute appendicitis, a radiation-free diagnostic imaging of ultrasonography selectively followed by MRI is feasible and comparable to CT-scan (25). Ultrasound sensitivity improves appendicitis with a longer duration of abdominal pain; while CT shows a high sensitivity regardless of the duration of pain (26). MRI can be compared with ultrasonography with the use of CT in detecting perforated appendicitis. However, both strategies incorrectly categorize up to half of the patients with perforated appendicitis as having simple appendicitis (27).

To minimize exposure to radiation in children, improvement should be made on the operation and acceptance of ultrasound as the main method of imaging abdominal pain in community hospitals (28). Point-of-care ultrasonography, when performed in emergency for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis, recorded high sensitivity of 92.3% and specificity of 95.8%, and had a positive impact on the clinical decision making of emergency physicians (29). This study showed that ultrasound is an effective first-line diagnostic tool for acute appendicitis, and CT should be performed for patients with unresponsive ultrasound findings (30). In a systematic review and meta-analysis, the susceptibility of the United States to the diagnosis of acute appendicitis was 69% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 78-79%), and 81% (95%CI: 88-73%) (31); another meta-analysis showed that CT and MRI have a high risk of diagnosing clinical acute appendicitis in children which is generally significant (32). Comparing the diagnostic accuracy, the difference between clinical examinations and ultrasound was not significant. The difference between the diagnostic accuracy of clinical and laboratory findings and between ultrasonography and laboratory tests was statistically significant (33). Primary ultrasound can be as effective as CT in patients with acute appendicitis when the results are definite (34). Ultrasound is an accurate, safe and reliable method with a sensitivity of 92.7%, 94.5% of the feature, 93% accuracy, in detecting suspected cases of acute appendicitis that can helps to minimize appendectomies and negative percutaneous rates (35).

5- CONCLUSION

The current study showed that the medical ultrasound reports could be considered more credible in diagnosing acute appendicitis in under-15 male subjects which paves the way for more accurate planning of treatment and presenting patients with abdominal pains for surgery. In addition, non-surgical procedures could be administered for both genders and age groups with stronger certainty and confidence in negative medical ultrasound reports.
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