

Prevalence of Neonatal Birth Trauma in Iran: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Mehdi Shokri^{1,2}, Somayyeh Nayyeri³, Neda Salimi³, Abdollah Nourmohammadi⁴, Asma Tarjoman⁵, Milad Borji^{4,6}, *Gholamreza Kalvandi⁷, Somayeh mahdikhani⁸

¹ Clinical Research Development, Imam Khomeini Hospital, Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Ilam, Iran.

² Assistant Professor of Pediatrics, Department of Pediatrics, Ilam University of Medical sciences, Ilam, Iran.

³ Department of Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Torbat Heydariyeh University of Medical Sciences, Torbat Heydariyeh, Iran.

⁴ School of Allied Medical Sciences, Ilam University of Medical sciences, Ilam, Iran.

⁵ Student Research Committee, Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Ilam, Iran.

⁶ Zoonotic Disease Research Center, Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Ilam, Iran.

⁷ School of Allied Medical Sciences, Ilam University of Medical sciences, Ilam, Iran.

⁷ Associate Professor of Pediatrics Gastroenterology, School of Medicine, Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Ilam, Iran.

⁸ University & agrave; degli Studi & quot; La Sapienza & quot; di Roma, Public Health and Infectious Diseases, Undergraduate, Italy.

Abstract

Background: Due to the importance of neonatal health, this study was performed by systematic review and meta-analysis with the aim of determining the prevalence of birth trauma in neonates in Iran.

Methods: This systematic review and meta-analysis study was conducted according to the PRISMA's checklist items. This study reviewed the articles published in Iran between 2000 and the beginning of July 2020. In this article, PICO indicators were used. Search in national databases in Iran(Scientific Information Database (SID), Iran Medex, Mag Iran, Iran Doc) and international databases(Scopus, PubMed/Medline, Embase, Web of Science (ISI), Cinahl, ScienceDirect) with keywords: Infant, Newborn, Birth Injuries, trauma, was done. The search was performed by two researchers. Data were analyzed using CMA software

Results: In this systematic review and meta-analysis study the total sample size of neonates in 9 studied articles was 42327 neonates. Also 9 articles had the necessary criteria to enter this systematic review and meta-analysis. Also, the overall prevalence of Neonatal birth trauma is 2.7% (95% CI [1.3, 5.3]), the prevalence of asphyxia in infants is 0.03 % (95% CI [0.02- 0.04]), the prevalence of brachial plexus paralysis is congenital. In infants equal to 0.02% (95% CI [0.01- 0.06]), the prevalence of congenital fracture in infants equal to 0.03% (95% CI [0.01- 0.08]), the prevalence of congenital injury in infants was 0.02% (95% CI [0.02- 0.03]).

Conclusion: Due to the high prevalence of birth trauma in infants in Iran, therefore, interventions are recommended to reduce it. Further studies on the factors affecting it need to be done to appropriate interventions to reduce it.

Key Words: Birth Trauma, Meta-Analysis, Neonatal, Systematic Review, Trauma.

<u>*Please cite this article as</u>: Shokri M, Nayyeri S, Salimi N, Nourmohammadi A, Tarjoman A, Borji M, Kalvandi G, mahdi khani S. Prevalence of Neonatal birth trauma in Iran: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Pediatr 2021; 9 (10): 14520-14531. DOI: **10.22038/IJP.2020.50652.4032**

Received date: Jul.26,2020; Accepted date: Aug.10,2020

^{*} Corresponding Author:

Gholamreza Kalvandi, Associate Professor of Pediatrics Gastroenterology, School of Medicine, Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Ilam, Iran. Email: pezeshk1351@yahoo.com

1- INTRODUCTION

There are different types of trauma and it can affect all age groups (1-3). Trauma can cause many problems for patients by causing harmful effects (4, 5). Birth trauma is an injury during delivery, during labor, or both of them that occurs to functional deterioration or structural damage (6). These injuries can be avoidable or unavoidable (7).

Types of trauma that affect newborns include fractures, soft tissue injuries, cephalohematoma, brachial plexus injury, suffocation, spontaneous intracranial hemorrhage, and nerve damage (8, 9). Factors causing infant trauma can be divided into 3 categories: factors related to infant, mother and medical team. Factors related to the baby include birth weight, baby's head circumference. mother related factors include the presence of mother's diseases such as diabetes, short or long term delivery, type of delivery (vaginal or cesarean section). Also, the experience and expertise of the treatment team and their fatigue can be mentioned as factors related to the medical staff (8, 10 and 11).

By improving medical science, the prevalence of birth trauma has decreased but this rate has not yet reached zero (12, 13), and the different prevalence of trauma at birth has also been reported (14, 15). Neonatal health is one of the most important tasks of the healthcare team (16, 17) for this reason, it is necessary to conduct extensive studies to determine the prevalence of factors affecting the health of this group (20-20).

2- OBJECTIVES

Due to the importance of neonatal health, this systematic review and metaanalysis study was performed with the aim of determining the prevalence of birth trauma in neonates in Iran.

3- METHODS

3-1. Study protocol

This systematic review and metaanalysis study was conducted according to the PRISMA's checklist items (43). This study reviewed the articles published in Iran between 2000 and the beginning of July 2020.

3-2. Eligibility Criteria

In this article, PICO indicators were used, each of which included the following (21):

p: Epidemiological studies in infant article

I: Birth Trauma

C: Asphyxia, brachial plexus paralysis, fracture, Brain hemorrhage, Face Injury, Soft tissue injury, cephalo hematoma, total BT

O: Report on the overall prevalence of birth trauma in Iranian infants

3-3. Included Studies

1-Original articles (Cross-sectional, crosssectional and retrospective) that examined birth trauma in Iranian infants were inclusion, 2- Articles of the last 20 years

3-4. excluded Studies

1-Systematic review and meta-analysis articles, case reports, qualitative studies, 2-Articles with NON-Iranian sample, 3- Low quality studies and insufficient sample size, 4- Unrelated and repetitive studies were excluded.

3-5. Search strategy

Search in national databases in Iran(Scientific Information Database(SID), Iran Medex, Mag Iran, Iran Doc) and international databases (Scopus, PubMed / Medline, Embase, Web of Science (ISI), Cinahl, Science Direct) with keywords: Infant [Mesh], Newborn [Mesh], Birth trauma [Mesh], neonatal [Mesh], Birth Injuries [Mesh], trauma [Mesh], was done. An example of a search strategy is attached.

Search strategy:

((((((Infant[Title/Abstract])) OR (Newborn[Title/Abstract])) OR (neonatal[Title/Abstract])) AND (Birth Injuries[Title/Abstract])) OR (trauma[Title/Abstract])) OR (Birth trauma[Title/Abstract])) OR (Injuries[Title/Abstract])) AND (iran[Title/Abstract])

3-6. Selection Process

In the first stage, all articles published with the affiliation of Iranian autores were searched, and then the study population was examined in them, and if it was done in the Iranian population, it was included in the study. Data extraction of articles was done independently by two researchers. If there was any difference between the opinions of the two authors, who had a degree in pediatrics and a nursing expert (they were a pediatrician and a nurse), the process of reviewing the article would be continued by a pediatric gastroenterologist. After studying the title and abstract of the searched articles, if the extracted article was related to the purpose of the research, the researchers would include it in the study and otherwise it would be excluded from the study. The search was conducted in Persian and English.

3-7. Data Extraction

in this study prepared a Checklist was with author name, year of publication, purpose, sampling method, rate of asphyxia, brachial plexus paralysis, fracture, Brain hemorrhage, Face Injury, Soft tissue injury, cephalo hematoma, total amount BT (**Table 1**).

3-8. Quality evaluation

In this study, the quality of the article was reported in 3 categories: low(less than 5 stars), medium (5–7 stars) and high (8–10 stars). A checklist was used to evaluate the quality of the articles on the Modified Newcastle-Ottawa scale. Low quality studies were deleted (44).

3-9. Statistical analysis

The normal distribution model was used to analyze the data. The weight of each study was inversely proportional to its variance. The heterogeneity among the studies was checked using the Q test and I2 index. Due to the significant heterogeneity among the studies, we used a random-effects model for meta-analysis. Meta-regression was used to explore the reasons for heterogeneity. The statistical analyses were performed in STATA software, version. 11 (College Station, TX, USA)

4. RESULTS

In this systematic review and metaanalysis study the total sample size of neonates in 9 studied articles was 42327 neonates (**Table 1**).

4-1. Search results

In the initial search, 343 articles were extracted from international and national databases. After a primary review, the researchers found that the number of 189 articles in the searched databases was duplicate, which is why 154 articles entered the next stage. Then, the titles of the articles were studied and in this stage, 116 articles were excluded from the study. Then, in the next stage, after reviewing the implementation method and inclusion and exit criteria, 9 articles had the necessary criteria to enter this systematic review and meta-analysis.

4-2. Meta-analysis

According to the findings, the overall prevalence of Neonatal birth trauma is 2.7%, asphyxia in infants is 0.03% and brachial plexus paralysis is congenital. In infants prevalence congenital fracture 0.02%, congenital fracture in infants equal to 0.03%, Brain hemorrhage in infants equal to 0.01%, congenital injury in infants was 0.02%, soft tissue injury at birth in infants was 0.02%, congenital cephalohematoma in infants was it was 0.07%.

| - | Author(years) | City | Aim | Study population | asphyxia | brachial plexus paralysis | fracture | Brain hemorr hage | Face Injury | Soft tissue injury | cephalon hematoma | Result |
|---|---------------------------|-----------|-------|------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| 1 | Mosavat (2008) (22) | Rafsanjan | 3340 | cross-sectional | - | - | 3(11.2) | - | - | 10 (37.03) | 10 (37.03) | 27(0.8) |
| 2 | Borna (2010) (23) | Tehran | 3596 | cohort study | 7(1.95) | 13(3.62) | 56(15.57) | 1(0.28) | 1 (0.28) | 2(0.56) | 77(21.41) | 157(43.66) |
| 3 | Kalahroudi (2015) (13) | Kashan | 7154 | cross-sectional | 27(0.38) | 5(0.07) | 7(0.09) | 3(0.04) | 4(0.05) | 22(0.30) | 92(1.28) | 161(2.2) |
| 4 | Rezaie (2009) (24) | Yasuj | 2005 | cross-sectional | 7(0.35) | 7(0.35) | 3(0.15) | - | 89(43.41) | 2(0.1) | 21(1.05) | 175(8.7) |
| 5 | Ghorashi (2005) (25) | Tabriz | 7260 | | 19 (17.6) | - | - | - | - | - | 19 (17.6) | 108(1.48) |
| 6 | Esmailpour (2005) (26) | Rasht | 13117 | Retrospective study | - | 5(0.03) | 51(0.38) | - | - | 26(0.19) | 27(0.2) | 141(1.07) |
| 7 | Keshtkaran (2004) (27) | Fars | 417 | descriptive study | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100(1.68) |
| 8 | Maharlouei (2018) (28) | Fars | 2438 | cohort study | - | - | - | 7(0.28) | - | - | - | 18(0.73) |
| 9 | Tehrani (2007) (11) | Tehran | 3000 | case-control | - | 22(0.73) | 28(0.93) | - | - | - | 29(0.96) | 79(2.63) |
| - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 |

Table-1: Characters of articles submitted to the systematic review and meta-analysis stage



Fig. 1: Flowcharts for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

| Study name | | Statist | ics for ea | ach study | | | Event | rate and | 95% CI | | | |
|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|---------|-------|-----------|----------|-----------|------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Event rate | Lower limit | Upper limit | Z-Value | p-Value | | | | | | Relative weight | Std Residual |
| Mosavat.2008 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.012 | -24.891 | 0.000 | 1 | | È | 1 | | 10.93 | -1.17 |
| Borna.2010 | 0.044 | 0.037 | 0.051 | -37.822 | 0.000 | | | | | | 11.21 | 0.49 |
| Kalahroudi.2015 | 0.023 | 0.019 | 0.026 | -47.310 | 0.000 | | | | | | 11.21 | -0.17 |
| Rezaie. 2009 | 0.087 | 0.076 | 0.100 | -29.666 | 0.000 | | | | | | 11.21 | 1.21 |
| Ghorashi. 2005 | 0.015 | 0.012 | 0.018 | -43.250 | 0.000 | | | | | | 11.18 | -0.58 |
| Esmailpour. 2005 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.013 | -53.408 | 0.000 | | | | | | 11.20 | -0.90 |
| Keshtkaran. 2004 | 0.240 | 0.201 | 0.283 | -10.059 | 0.000 | | | | | | 11.15 | 2.36 |
| Maharlouie. 2018 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.012 | -20.717 | 0.000 | | | | | | 10.76 | -1.24 |
| Tehrani.2007 | 0.026 | 0.021 | 0.033 | -31.663 | 0.000 | | | | | | 11.15 | -0.02 |
| | 0.027 | 0.013 | 0.053 | -9.824 | 0.000 | | | • | | | | |
| | | | | | | -0.50 | -0.25 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.50 | | |
| | | | | | | | Favours A | | Favours B | | | |

Fig. 2: Prevalence of overall Neonatal birth trauma in Iran between 2019 and 2020 According to the findings, the overall prevalence of birth trauma in infants was 2.7 (95% CI [1.3, 5.3]) (**Fig. 2**).

| Study name | | Statist | ics for ea | ach study | | | Event | rate and | 95% CI | | | |
|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|---------|-------|-----------|----------|-----------|------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Event rate | Lower limit | Upper limit | Z-Value | p-Value | | | | | | Relative weight | Std Residual |
| Mosavat.2008 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.012 | -24.891 | 0.000 | 1 | | È. | 1 | 1 | 10.93 | -1.17 |
| Borna.2010 | 0.044 | 0.037 | 0.051 | -37.822 | 0.000 | | | | | | 11.21 | 0.49 |
| Kalahroudi.2015 | 0.023 | 0.019 | 0.026 | -47.310 | 0.000 | | | | | | 11.21 | -0.17 |
| Rezaie. 2009 | 0.087 | 0.076 | 0.100 | -29.666 | 0.000 | | | | | | 11.21 | 1.21 |
| Ghorashi. 2005 | 0.015 | 0.012 | 0.018 | -43.250 | 0.000 | | | | | | 11.18 | -0.58 |
| Esmailpour. 2005 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.013 | -53.408 | 0.000 | | | | | | 11.20 | -0.90 |
| Keshtkaran. 2004 | 0.240 | 0.201 | 0.283 | -10.059 | 0.000 | | | | - | | 11.15 | 2.36 |
| Maharlouie. 2018 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.012 | -20.717 | 0.000 | | | | | | 10.76 | -1.24 |
| Tehrani.2007 | 0.026 | 0.021 | 0.033 | -31.663 | 0.000 | | | | | | 11.15 | -0.02 |
| | 0.027 | 0.013 | 0.053 | -9.824 | 0.000 | | | • | | | | |
| | | | | | | -0.50 | -0.25 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.50 | | |
| | | | | | | | Favours A | | Favours B | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Fig. 3: funnel plot of studies for publication bias, Z=0.31 P=0.75



Meta Analysis

Fig. 4: prevalence of asphysia in Neonatal trauma in Iran between 2019 and 2020 According to the findings, the prevalence of asphysia at birth in infants was 0.03 (95% CI [0.02-0.04)) (**Fig. 4**).

| Study name | | Statist | ics for ea | ach study | | | Event r | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|---------|-------|-----------|------|-----------|------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Event rate | Lower limit | Upper limit | Z-Value | p-Value | | | | | | Relative weight | Std Residual |
| Borna.2010 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.006 | -20.223 | 0.000 | | 1 | | | 1 | 20.82 | 0.59 |
| Kalahroudi.2015 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.002 | -16.240 | 0.000 | | | | | | 19.02 | -0.98 |
| Rezaie. 2009 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.007 | -14.933 | 0.000 | | | | | | 19.81 | 0.54 |
| Esmailpour. 2005 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | -17.599 | 0.000 | | | | | | 19.02 | -1.54 |
| Tehrani.2007 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.011 | -22.936 | 0.000 | | | | | | 21.34 | 1.31 |
| | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.006 | -11.962 | 0.000 | | | • | | | | |
| | | | | | | -0.05 | -0.03 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.05 | | |
| | | | | | | | Favours A | | Favours B | | | |

Meta Analysis

Fig. 5: brachial plexus paralysis trauma in Iran between 2019 and 2020 According to the findings, the prevalence of brachial plexus paralysis in infants at birth was 0.02 (95% CI [0.01- 0.06]) (**Fig. 5**).

| Study name | | Statist | ics for ea | ach study | | | Event r | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|---------|-------|-----------|------|-----------|------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Event rate | Lower limit | Upper limit | Z-Value | p-Value | | | | | | Relative weight | Std Residual |
| Mosavat.2008 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.003 | -12.144 | 0.000 | | | | | | 14.05 | -1.25 |
| Borna.2010 | 0.016 | 0.012 | 0.020 | -30.787 | 0.000 | | | | | | 18.59 | 1.74 |
| Kalahroudi.2015 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.002 | -18.322 | 0.000 | | | | | | 16.48 | -1.29 |
| Rezaie. 2009 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.005 | -11.256 | 0.000 | | | | | | 14.05 | -0.77 |
| Esmailpour. 2005 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.005 | -39.529 | 0.000 | | | | | | 18.57 | 0.17 |
| Tehrani.2007 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.013 | -24.568 | 0.000 | | | | | | 18.26 | 1.14 |
| | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.008 | -13.371 | 0.000 | | | • | | | | |
| | | | | | | -0.05 | -0.03 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.05 | | |
| | | | | | | | Favours A | | Favours B | | | |

Fig. 6: prevalence of fracture trauma in Iran between 2019 and 2020

According to the findings, the prevalence of fracture at birth in infants was 0.03 (95% CI [0.01-0.08]) (**Fig. 6**).



Fig. 7: prevalence of Brain hemorrhage trauma in Iran between 2019 and 2020 According to the findings, the prevalence of Brain hemorrhage at birth in infants was 0.01 (95% CI [0.01- 0.04]) (**Fig. 7**).



Meta Analysis

Fig. 8: prevalence of face injury trauma in Iran between 2019 and 2020 According to the findings, the prevalence of birth injuries in infants was 0.02 (95% CI [0.00-0.75]) (**Fig. 8**).

| Study name | | Statist | ics for ea | ach study | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|---------|-------|-----------|------|-----------|------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Event rate | Lower limit | Upper limit | Z-Value | p-Value | | | | | | Relative weight | Std Residual |
| Mosavat.2008 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.006 | -18.339 | 0.000 | | 1 | - | | 1 | 16.12 | 0.88 |
| Borna.2010 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.002 | -10.595 | 0.000 | | | • | | | 3.23 | -2.06 |
| Kalahroudi.2015 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.005 | -27.075 | 0.000 | | | | | | 35.46 | 1.64 |
| Rezaie. 2009 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.004 | -9.766 | 0.000 | | | - | | | 3.23 | -1.22 |
| Esmailpour. 2005 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.003 | -31.693 | 0.000 | | | | | | 41.96 | -1.07 |
| | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.003 | -47.675 | 0.000 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | -0.05 | -0.03 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.05 | | |
| | | | | | | | Favours A | | Favours B | | | |

Fig. 9: prevalence of soft tissue injury trauma in Iran between 2019 and 2020

According to the findings, the prevalence of soft tissue injury at birth in infants was 0.02 (95% CI [0.02-0.03)) (**Fig. 9**).



Meta Analysis



According to the findings, the prevalence of cephalohematoma at birth in infants was 0.07 (95% CI [0.03-0.13]) (Fig. 10).

5-DISCUSSION

The present study is the first study in the field of birth trauma in the neonatal group of Iran, which was conducted with the aim of determining the prevalence of birth trauma Neonatal in Iran bv systematic review and meta-analysis. According to the findings, the overall prevalence of birth trauma in infants was 2.7% (95% CI [1.3, 5.3]). In the study by Hughes et al. (29), the overall prevalence of Neonatal birth trauma was 0.82% (9.5 per 1000 births).

In the study of Moczygemba et al. (30), the total Birth trauma rate was 25.85 per 1000 births and in the study of Ray et al. (31) it was shown that out of 4741 newborns, the rate of birth trauma was 15.4 per 1000 births. In a study by Leinweber et al., Which interviewed midwives, it was shown that 67.2% of the midwives in the study had observed at least one traumatic

event in the newborn (32), which can be attributed to differences in the type of sample method. Because in this article, the systematic review reported articles on birth trauma observed in infants, while in the article by Leinweber et al. (32), observations of midwifery staff were reported.

According to the findings, the prevalence of brachial plexus paralysis at birth in infants was 0.02% (95% CI [0.01- 0.06]). The study by Hughes et al. (30) was 5.1% and in the study by Okby et al. It was 1.62 per thousand infants (33). Causes of brachial plexus paralysis include fetal macrosomia, obesity and diabetes in mothers, as well as shoulder dystocia (33-In relation to other 35). research objectives. it was shown that the prevalence of cephalohematoma at birth was 0.07% (95% CI [0.03-0.13]). In Hughes et al. (29) this rate was equal to 56.6% and in the study of Ray et al. (31) 13 cases in 4741 total cases of neonates and also in the study of Osinaike et al. It was equal to 14 (15.6) (36).

According to the findings, the prevalence of fracture at birth in infants was 0.03% (95% CI [0.01-0.08]), which in the study of Osinaike et al. Was 8 (8.9) (36). In relation to similar studies, we can refer to the study of Hughes et al. (29) where the prevalence of skull fracture was 2.9% and in the study of Ray et al. (31) the prevalence of skull injury was 0.51%. Also, in the study of Lam et al., It was shown that the prevalence of Clavicular fracture is 1.6% (37). Fracture can lead to stress and anxiety in parents and treatment staff, which factors such as infant weight, gestational diabetes, vacuum delivery and the experience and expertise of the treatment staff are effective in causing it (40-40).

According to the findings, the prevalence of asphyxia at birth in infants was 0.03% (95% CI [0.02-0.04)), which was 52 (38.8) in the study of Osinaike et al. (36). Also, in the study of Cavallin et al., Which examined 1019 neonates admitted to the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) ward, it was shown that the prevalence of asphyxia in neonates was 178 (17.5%) (41). Regarding the prevalence of soft tissue injury at birth in infants, it was 0.02 (95% CI [0.02- 0.03]), which was equal to 0.59% in the study of Ray et al. (31). Attention to asphyxia and soft tissue injury in infants is so important that among infants with asphyxia, 15-20% of them died in infancy and about 25% of them had organ dysfunction (42).

6- STUDY LIMITATIONS

One of the limitations of this study is that in this study, Neonatal birth trauma was studied only in infants of Iran by systematic review and meta-analysis. For this reason, it is recommended that a global study be conducted on the prevalence of Neonatal birth trauma. These findings are also related to this study period and the last 20 years.

7- CONCLUSION

Due to the high prevalence of birth trauma in infants in Iran, therefore, interventions are recommended to reduce it. Due to the high prevalence of birth trauma in infants in Iran, therefore, interventions are recommended to reduce it. Further studies on the factors affecting it need to be done to appropriate interventions to reduce it.

8- ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Student Research Committee, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran.

9- CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

10. FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Student Research Committee, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran.

11- REFERENCE

1. Eftekhari A, Tafti AD, Khorasani-Zavareh D, Nasiriani K, Hajimaghsoudi M, Falahzadeh H. Root Causes of Preventable Prehospital Deaths in Road Traffic Injuries: A Systematic Review. Trauma Monthly. 2019;24 (4):e88412-e.

 Sadeghi N, Parandoosh P, Motamedi MHK. Patterns of Maxillofacial Fractures: A Systematic Review. Trauma Monthly. 2019;24 (4):e87169-e.

3. Saeb M, Yaghoubi M, Bagheri N, Khabiri SS. Musculoskeletal injuries of the Kermanshah earthquake and orthopedic management at the trauma center of Kermanshah, West Iran. Trauma Monthly. 2019; 24 (3):e83464-.

4. Yadollahi M, Zamani M, Jamali K, Mahmoudi A, Rasaee MA, Kashkooe A. A Survey of Accidental Fall-induced Injuries and Mortality in a Central Trauma Hospital in Iran: 2015-2016. Trauma Monthly. 2018; 24(2):e14285-e.

5. Sarkarat F, Motamedi MHK, Aghdam HM, Rastegar Moghadam Shalduzi H. Evaluation of Oral and Maxillofacial Trauma in Tehran from 2008 to 2016. Trauma Monthly. 2019; 24(2).

6. Ojumah N, Ramdhan RC, Wilson C, Loukas M, Oskouian RJ, Tubbs RS. Neurological neonatal birth injuries: a literature review. Cureus. 2017; 9(12).

7. Kliegman RM, Behrman RE, Jenson HB, Stanton BM. Nelson textbook of pediatrics e-book: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2007.

8. Rehm A, Promod P, Ogilvy-Stuart A. Neonatal birth fractures: a retrospective tertiary maternity hospital review. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 2019:1-6.

9. Mitanchez D. Complications fœtales et néonatales du diagnostic gestationnel: mortalité périnatale, malformations congénitales, macrosomie, dystocie des épaules, traumatisme obstétrical, complications néonatales. Journal de Gynécologie Obstétrique et Biologie de la Reproduction. 2010; 39 (8):S189-S99.

10. Pius S, Dada BJ, Machoko Y, Musa HY, Bello M. Birth Injuries, Risk Factors and Predictors of Outcome in Maiduguri North-eastern Nigeria. Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research. 2018:1-8.

11. Tehrani FHE, Kazemi H, Kordi M. Prevalence and outcome of the macrosomic infants. Acta Medica Iranica. 2007:505-9.

12. Parker LA. PART 1: EARLY RECOGNITION AND TREATMENT OF BIRTH TRAUMA INJURIES TO THE HEAD AND FACE. Advances in Neonatal Care. 2005; 5(6):288-97.

13. Abedzadeh-Kalahroudi M, Talebian A, Jahangiri M, Mesdaghinia E, Mohammadzadeh M. Incidence of neonatal birth injuries and related factors in Kashan, Iran. Archives of trauma research. 2015; 4(1).

14. Nasab SAM, Vaziri S, Arti HR, Najafi RN. Incidence and associated risk factors of birth fractures in the newborns. 2011.

15. Warke C, Malik S, Chokhandre M, Saboo A. Birth injuries-A review of incidence, perinatal risk factors and outcome. Bombay Hosp J. 2012; 54(2):202-8.

16. Sullivan VD, White AJ. Nursing interventions that address barriers in the United States that impact neonatal mortality. 2019.

17. Pell LG, Turab A, Bassani DG, Shi J, Soofi S and Hussain M, et al. Effect of an integrated neonatal care kit on neonatal health outcomes: a cluster randomised controlled trial in rural Pakistan. BMJ global health. 2019; 4(3):e001393.

18. Mahmoodi Z, Sharifi N, Dolatian M, Rezaei N. Relationship between Structural and Intermediate Social Determinants of Health and Low Birth Weight: A Path Analysis. Iranian Journal of Neonatology IJN. 2019; 10(3):88-96.

19. Soleimani F, Sharifi N, Rasti Borujeni F, Amiri M, Khazaiyan S. Neurodevelopmental follow-up in high-risk infants. Tehran University Medical Journal. 2015; 72(11):733-41.

20. ANSARI A, HASHEMIPOUR M, KEIKHA M, NAJAFI R. Thyroid dysfunction in very-low-birth-weight premature neonates: A systematic review of published evidence. 2017.

21. Richardson WS, Wilson MC, Nishikawa J, Hayward RSJAjc. The wellbuilt clinical question: a key to evidencebased decisions. 1995; 123(3):A12-3.

22. Mosavat SA, Zamani M. The incidence of birth trauma among live born term neonates at a referral hospital in Rafsanjan, Iran. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine. 2008; 21(5):337-9.

23. Borna H, Rad SMBA, Borna S, Mohseni SM. Incidence of and risk factors for birth trauma in Iran. Taiwanese Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2010; 49(2):170-3.

24. Rezaie M, Ghafarian Shirazi H, Balaghi Einanloo K, Hashemi H. Birth Injuries and Related Risk Factors in Neonates Born in Imam Sajjad Hospital in Yasuj in 2005 to 2006. Armaghane danesh. 2009; 14(1):122-9.

25. Ghorashi Z, Ahari H, Okhchi R. Birth injuries of neonates in Alzahra hospital of Tabriz Iran. Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences. 2005; 21(3):289-91.

26. Esmailpour N, Asgharnia M. Early Neonatal Injuries in Normal Vaginal and Cesarean

27. Keshtkaran A, Keshtkaran V. Factors affecting neonatal death in Fars Province, Southern Iran, 2004. Middle East J Family Med. 2007; 5:42-5.

28. Maharlouei N, Mansouri P, Zahmatkeshan M, Lankarani K. Low-risk planned caesarean versus planned vaginal delivery at term: early and late infantile outcomes. East Mediterr Health J. 2018; 24:2.

29. Hughes CA, Harley EH, Milmoe G, Bala R, Martorella AJAoOH, Surgery N. Birth trauma in the head and neck. 1999; 125(2):193-9.

30. Moczygemba CK, Paramsothy P, Meikle S, Kourtis AP, Barfield WD, Kuklina E, et al. Route of delivery and neonatal birth trauma. 2010; 202(4):361. e1 -. e6.

31. Ray S, Mondal R, Samanta M, Hazra A, Sabui TK, Debnath A, et al. Prospective study of neonatal birth trauma: Indian perspective. 2016; 5(2):91.

32. Leinweber J, Creedy DK, Rowe H, Gamble JJW, birth. Responses to birth trauma and prevalence of posttraumatic stress among Australian midwives. 2017; 30(1):40-5.

33. Okby R, Sheiner E. Risk factors for neonatal brachial plexus paralysis.Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics.2012; 286(2):333-6.

34. Mollberg M, Hagberg H, Bager B, Lilja H, Ladfors LJAOGS. High birth weight and shoulder dystocia: the strongest risk factors for obstetrical brachial plexus palsy in a Swedish population-based study. 2005; 84(7):654-9.

35. Weizsaecker K, Deaver J, Cohen WJBAIJoO, Gynaecology. Labour characteristics and neonatal Erb's palsy. 2007; 114(8):1003-9.

36. Osinaike BO, Akinseye LO, Akiyode ORDelAveyiesbulnamnaCof KusihimbInOxHist(S) of Medical Scie Prevalence and predictive factors of birth traumas in neonates presenting to the children emergency center of a tertiary center in Southwest, Nigeria. 2017; 14(4):167. 37. Lam M-H, Wong GY, Lao TTJO and Gynecology. Reappraisal of neonatal clavicular fracture: relationship between infant size and neonatal morbidity. 2002; 100(1):115-9.

38. Zdener T, Engin-Ustun Y, Aktulay A, Turkcapar F, Oguz S, Yapareyi EJERMPS. Clavicular fracture: its prevalence and predisposing factors in term uncomplicated pregnancy. 2013; 17(9):1269-72.

39. Iskender C, Kaymak O, Erkenekli K, Ustunyurt E, Uygur D, Yakut HI, et al. Neonatal injury at cephalic vaginal delivery: a retrospective analysis of extent of association with shoulder dystocia. 2014; 9(8): e104765.

40. Rehm A, Promod P, Ogilvy-Stuart AJJoO, Gynaecology. Neonatal birth fractures: a retrospective tertiary maternity hospital review. 2020; 40(4):485-90.

41. Cavallin F, Menga A, Brasili L, Maziku D, Azzimonti G, Putoto G, et al. Factors associated with mortality among asphyxiated newborns in a low-resource setting. 2020:1-6.

42. Odd D, Heep A, Luyt K, Draycott TJJoN-PM. Hypoxic-ischemic brain injury: planned delivery before intrapartum events. 2017; 10(4):347-53.

43. Panic N, Leoncini E, de Belvis G, Ricciardi W, Boccia S (2013) Evaluation of the Endorsement of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) Statement on the Quality of Published Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses. PLoS ONE 8(12): e83138. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.00831 38

44. Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, Tugwell P. The Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. Ottawa: Ottawa Hospital Research Institute; 2011.