

Original Article (Pages: 3957-3967)

Phospho-SMC1 in-Cell ELISA based Detection of Ataxia Telangiectasia

Majid Zaki dizaji¹, Nima Rezaei², Marjan Yaghmaie³, Mehdi Yaseri⁴, Seyed Javad Sayedi⁵, Gholamreza Azizi⁶, *Asghar Aghamohammadi², *Seyed Mohammad Akrami¹

¹Department of Medical Genetics, School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ²Research Center for Immunodeficiencies, Children's Medical Center, Tehran University of Medical Science, Tehran, Iran. ³Hematology, Oncology and Stem Cell Transplantation Research Center, Shariati Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences. ⁴Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ⁵Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical sciences, Mashhad, Iran. ⁶Department of Laboratory Medicine, Imam Hassan Mojtaba Hospital, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran.

Abstract

Background

Ataxia telangiectasia (A-T) is a common genetically inherited cause of early childhood-onset ataxia. The infrequency of this disease, vast phenotype variation, disorders with features similar to those of A-T, and lack of definite laboratory test, make diagnosis difficult. In addition, there is no rapid reliable laboratory method for identifying A-T heterozygotes, who susceptible to ionizing radiation (IR), atherosclerosis, diabetes, and cancers. We used SMC1pSer966 (pSMC1) in-cell colorimetric ELISA to diagnosis and screen in A-T families.

Materials and Methods: With informed consent, 2cc peripheral blood was collected from the 15 A-T patients, their parents, and 24 healthy controls with no family history of malignancy, diabetes, and atherosclerosis. Extracted peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were cultured in poly-L-Lysine treated 96-well plate with density of 70,000 cells per well. SMC1 phosphorylation was evaluated with cell-based ELISA kit 1 hour after 5 Gy IR and the pSMC1data normalized with Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH).

Results: SMC1 phosphorylation was significantly low in A-T`s PBMC (mean \pm standard deviation [SD]: 0.075 \pm 0.034) in comparison to carriers (mean \pm SD: 0.190 \pm 0.060) and healthy controls (mean \pm SD: 0.312 \pm 0.081), but unluckily could only discriminate A-T patients (Area Under the Curve -receiver operating characteristic [AUC-ROC]: 1.00, 1.00-1.00). This method in spite of rapidness and simplicity showed poor imprecision (22.49% coefficient of variation [CV] for intraday imprecision).

Conclusion: It seems pSMC1 assessment by in-cell ELISA can be used for detection of A-T patients, but it may not sensitive enough for identification of carriers. This ELISA test is very simple, rapid, and requires less than 2cc blood. Thus it may be proposed for the early differential diagnosis of A-T as an alternative method.

Key Words: Ataxia telangiectasia, Children, ELISA, PBMC, SMC1.

<u>*Please cite this article as</u>: Zaki dizaji M, Rezaei N, Yaghmaie M, Yaseri M, Sayedi SJ, Azizi G, et al. Phospho-SMC1 in-Cell ELISA based Detection of Ataxia Telangiectasia. Int J Pediatr 2016; 4(12): 3957-67.DOI: **10.22038/ijp.2016.7751**

*Corresponding Authors:

Seyed Mohammad Akrami, MD, PhD, Department of Medical Genetics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran14155-6447, Iran. AND Asghar Aghamohammadi, MD, PhD, Children's Medical Center Hospital, 62 Qarib St., Keshavarz Blvd., Tehran14194, Iran.

Email: akramism@tums.ac.ir AND aghamohammadi@sina.tums.ac.ir

Received date Aug.22, 2016; Accepted date: Sep. 22, 2016

1- INTRODUCTION

Ataxia-telangiectasia (A-T) is a rare multisystemic autosomal recessive syndrome characterized by progressive cerebellar ataxia. oculocutaneous telangiectasia, variable immunodeficiency, chromosomal instability, radiosensitivity, for malignancy, and high risk predominantly leukemia and lymphoma in children and epithelial cancers in surviving adults (1, 2). Onset of neurological changes in A-T patients usually occurs between 1 and 4 years of age. A-T is occurred worldwide, with an incidence of 1 in 40,000 to 100,000 newborns (3). This frequency varies from country to country and it is relatively prevalent in Iran because of high consanguinity marriage rate and ethnic components (4, 5). Importantly, the A-T carrier (heterozygote) frequency is estimated to be approximately 1-2% of the general population (3). Although, A-T heterozygotes are usually asymptomatic and mostly considered healthy, but in comparison to the general population, they are more susceptible to ionizing radiation (IR) and have a higher risk of heart disease, diabetes, and cancers in particular breast cancer (6, 7). Early diagnosis of A-T patients and carriers is prognosis helpful for and genetic counseling of families (8).

A-T is caused by germline mutations in the ataxia-telangiectasia mutated (ATM) gene, which is located at 11q22.3 (9). ATM protein is a ubiquitous serine/threonine kinase mainly involved in the maintenance of genomic stability (10). Mutations of the full-length ATM occurs across transcript without any hotspots. Over 600 different A-T mutations have been described and most of the patients are compound heterozygotes (2.11). Diagnosis of A-T is difficult and based on the combination of clinical features with laboratory tests including high levels of serum alpha-fetoprotein, cell sensitivity to IR, acquired 7- and 14-chromosome translocations in the blood karyotype and reduced or absent levels of ATM protein (1, 12). Mutation analysis can be used for confirmation of diagnosis in A-T patients and identification of carriers; however, currently DNA sequencing is relatively expensive, also ATM gene is large and the causality of many missense DNA changes is often unclear (13-17). Therefore, the use of a functional test might be useful for the identification of A-T patients and carriers.

Recently a functional flow cytometry assay has been developed to diagnose patients and carriers of A-T by R.A. Gatti and his colleagues. They used nuclear staining of Lymphoblastoid cell lines and transformed peripheral blood mononuclear (PBMCs) to measure cells ATMdependent phosphorylation of structural maintenance of chromosomes 1 (SMC1) following deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) damage (18). Later, phosphorylation of SMC1 was used to detect radiation exposure in humans by blood-based pSMC1 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (19). SMC1 is a cohesin binding protein that is phosphorylated by ATM kinase after DNA damage (20). The previous studies results of were encouraged us to combine their findings to seek a rapid, easy and not expensive way to asses SMC1 phosphorylation. So, we used in-cell ELISA colorimetric detection system to measures ATM-dependent phosphorylation of the SMC1 protein after DNA damage, for identifying A-T patients and carriers.

2- MATERIALS AND METHODS

2-1. Blood sample and culture

This study was conducted in Children's Medical Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences from September 2015 through May 2016 after obtaining approval from research ethics committee of university. With informed consent, we collected 2cc peripheral blood from 15 patients with A-T, 30 obligate carrier parents, and 24 healthy controls unrelated to A-T families with no family history of malignancy, diabetes, and atherosclerosis. Sample size was calculated according to pervious study (18).

In-cell ELISA assay was performed according to the SMC1 (phospho-Ser966) cell-based colorimetric ELISA kit (CytoGlowTM, assaybiotech) with some Briefly, PBMCs modifications. were isolated by Ficoll (Biosera, East Sussex, UK) and 70,000 cells were cultured for at least 15 hours in 96-well plates treated with poly-L-lysine (sigma, US) in 200ul of Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 (Gibco. Germany) medium supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Germany), 1% L-glutamine, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and in а humidified incubator with 5% Carbon dioxide [CO2]. Gamma irradiation was carried out in Theratron 780E (Canada) at room temperature and at a dose rate of 0.9 Gy/min. One hour after 5 Gy irradiation, 100 µL of medium was replaced by 100 µL of 8% formaldehyde solution (sigma, Germany) to fix and crosslink the cells to microplate. the After 15 minutes incubation, the plate was washed 3 times wash with buffer and the cells permeabilized in Triton[™] X-100 (Merck, Germany) for 20 minutes. After quenching and blocking, the cells incubated with primary antibody for overnight. Substrate was added to wells, after secondary antibody incubation, for 20 minutes. The optical density (OD) data were measured with micro-plate reader 4 Plus (Hyperion. Inc., USA) at 450nm wavelength.

Each condition was performed in duplicate and anti-GAPDH antibody was used as a positive control, and SMC1 and GAPDH secondary antibodies (without primary antibody) were used as negative control. Both positive and negative controls were performed in the same plate with the pSMC1 target experiments.

2-2. Precision studies

We determined the ELISA intraday assay (within-run) precision, expressed as a coefficient of variation (CV), by testing PBMCs isolated from one healthy donor and one A-T patient donor samples, in 7 measurements on the same day. The interday assay (between-day) variability calculated in 4 measurements on 4 different days for 1 healthy donor.

2-3. Data normalization and statistical analysis

The OD data of pSMC1 protein were normalized with OD values of GAPDH these and quantitative values were presented as the means ± standard deviations (SD). The Kruskal-Wallis test and Dunn's multiple comparisons test as post hoc were used to compare the groups and the Receiver operates characteristic (ROC) curves were used to determine relevant sensitivity and specificity of pSMC1 ELISA values. All Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism version 6 (San Diego, CA, USA) and SPSS 22 (IBM SPSS Statistics, IBM Corporation, Chicago, IL) softwares. P values <0.05 were considered significant.

3- RESULTS

For analyzing SMC1 phosphorylation by in-cell ELISA, 15 patients with A-T (male: female, 0.87), 30 obligate carrier parents, and 24 healthy controls (male: female, 1.4) were enrolled in this study. The mean (lowest-highest) age was 10 (4-22), 37(26-55), and 32 (19-46) years old for A-T patients, carriers, and healthy controls respectively.

We determined optimal cell seeding density by using serially diluted cells (0-280,000 cells) per well in 96-well plates. After irradiation, fixing and staining, 70,000 cells were chosen based on sufficient color intensity without saturation and confluency (**Figure.1**).

3-1. Precision studies

We evaluated the precision of the test based on PBMCs at two levels: intraday assay (within-run), and interday assay (between-run). For intraday evaluation, PBMCs from 1 healthy donor and 1 A-T patient donor samples were irradiated, fixed, and stained in replicates the same day (one time, 7 aliquots). The intraday assay imprecision (CV) was 13.16% and 14.33% for healthy donor and A-T patient, respectfully (**Table.1**). For the second level, PBMCs collected from 1 healthy donor on 5 different day. Interday assay (between-run) imprecision (CV) of 5 measurement was 22.49%.

3-2. Detection of ATM kinase activity by SMC1 phosphorylation

Figure.2 demonstrates that phosphorylation of SMC1 after 5 Gy IR is absent or significantly reduced in A-T patients (mean+ SD: 0.075 + 0.034), but it is present in A-T carriers (mean+ SD: 0.190 + 0.060) and healthy controls (mean \pm SD: 0.312 \pm 0.081). Although the difference between A-T carriers and healthy controls is statistically significant (Kruskal–Wallis test, P = 0.0007), there is overlap between them that prevent us to set a detection cut-off. ROC curve analysis of pSMC1values has provided a high sensitivity and specificity for detection of A-T patients with AUC-ROC of 1.00 (95% CI 1.00–1.00) as shown in Figure.3.



Fig.1: Determination of the optimal cell number for seeding. Serially diluted PBMCs (0-280000 cells) from one healthy donors were seeded in poly-L-lysine treated 96-well plate. After irradiation, cells were fixed and stained with HRP-conjugated antibody. For all densities, experiment was performed in triplicate. The solid circles indicate mean and the error bars represent SDs.

Table-1: Precision of ELISA assay						
Intra-day ^a	Measurements (n)	Mean	Standard deviation	CV (%)		
Healthy control	7	0.296	0.039	13.16		
A-T patient	7	0.091	0.013	14.33		
Inter-day ^b	5	0.302	0.067	22.49		
^a PBMCs were assayed 7 times in the same day.						
^b PBMCs were assayed one time on 5 different days.						



Fig.2: In-cell ELISA analysis of SMC1 phosphorylation on cells after 5 Gy irradiation in PBMCs from healthy controls, A-T carriers and patients. Dot plot of normalized OD data performed on PBMCs from 24 healthy controls [0.312 mean (0.081 SD)], 30 A-T carriers [0.190 mean (0.060 SD)], and 15 A-T patients [0.075 mean (0.034 SD)]. P values between groups are indicated above each panel. The dashed lines indicate mean and the error bars represent SDs.



Fig.3: ROC curve analysis of pSMC1 ELISA data. ROC curve comparing sensitivity and specificity of pSMC1 ELISA data for detection of A-T carriers and patients. The value of the AUC–ROC were A: 0.549 (95% confidence interval 0.405–0.693), B: 1.00 (95% confidence interval 1.00–1.00), C: 0.956 (95% confidence interval 0.901–1) for healthy controls vs. A-T carriers, healthy controls vs. A-T patients and A-T carriers vs. A-T patients respectfully. ROC curve analyses suggested that pSMC1 ELISA data had significant diagnostic value for A-T detection.

5- DISCUSSION

We describe here an ELISA based method for the diagnosis of A-T and possibly screening of A-T heterozygotes based on in-cell ELISA analysis of SMC1 phosphorylation after 5 Gy irradiation. We demonstrate that this method is very simple and non-expensive, it needs less than 2 cc of blood and can be implemented directly on PBMCs, thus avoiding the preparation of cell lines and reducing the turnaround time to only1-2 days.

This is the first time that a phosphorylation target of ATM is analyzed by in-cell ELISA for the diagnosis of A-T. Several methods have been developed to measure radiosensitivity (21) in A-T patients and even carriers that summarized in Table.2. The colony-survival assay (CSA), the gold standard assay for evaluating 'radiosensitivity', reflects which the efficiency of DNA repair of DNA doublestrand breaks (DSBs). identifies radiosensitivity in approximately 90% of AT patients (1). Technical difficulties involved in CSA standardization and optimization significantly interfered with the ability to further study the predictive accuracy of the assay. Some assays have proposed to distinguish been A-T heterozygotes from normal individuals. These assays involve mRNA/miRNA expression profiling, after and also before irradiation of AT cells (22-24),chromosome breakage assay (25, 26), comet assay (27), Gamma- H2AX (28), and pSMC1assay (18). However, due to diverse mutations with different radiosensitivity (29, 30), it needs to be confirmed by other studies.

Recently, flow cytometry based analysis of Gamma - H2AX and pSMC1 after IR, showed successful strategy to diagnosis of A-T (18, 31) and even to distinguish A-T heterozygotes from healthy controls (18, 28). Both H2AX and SMC1 are phosphorylation target of ATM in DNA damage response and they are involved in controlling cell cycle checkpoints and damage processing (32, DNA 33). Usefulness of H2AX in radiosensitivity analysis has been studied frequently (34), A-T disease. SMC1 but for phosphorylation seems more specific because H2AX phosphorylated is redundantly by several kinases (21, 35) and Gamma-H2AX does not always indicate the presence of DSB (36). ATM directly phosphorylate SMC1 protein on serine residues 957 and 966 in response to DNA damage (20). We assessed phosphorylation of serine 966 and our results showed that in-cell ELISA of pSMC1 be able to diagnosis A-T but cannot distinguish carriers from healthy controls (Figure.2).

Previously, it was suggested that SMC1 is phosphorylated specifically by ataxiatelangiectasia mutated (ATM), so it has seemed promising for been A-T heterozygote homozygotes and identification (18). But, recently some studies reported redundant phosphorylation of SMC1 (37-39). In support with that, we saw SMC1 phosphorylation in A-T patients (Figure.2), after IR. The study of ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3 related (ATR)dependent signaling in ATM-deficient cells showed that ATR is also capable of phosphorylating SMC1 by Mre11-Rad50-Nbs1 (MRN) complex dependent manner (40). It seems ATR kinase recruited to the sites of DNA damage in ATM-deficient cells and phosphorylated SMC1 in ATMindependent manner, at low level (41).

In addition to redundant kinases, ATMdependent phosphorylation of SMC1 may be effected by other DNA damage repair proteins that functionally related to ATM protein. This increase potential of false positive result for other genomic instability disorders (42). Therefore, we should be cautious in using of pSMC1 in screening, because it may have potential falsepositive for other genomic instability disorders (18). Although pSMC1 in-cell ELISA assay is a promising method for A-T diagnosis, the precision of assay especially inter-assay precision was low. Such variability may be due in part to operator error, but it is more likely that observed variations are due to procedural issues. It is recommended that all procedures were performed in the same lab (especially IR part) and assay executed on protein lysate not directly on PBMCs (19).

In working by protein lysate, antibody coating should be performed with caution, because lack of uniformity in the antibody coating on the walls of the plate wells has been shown to be the largest contributor to total assay imprecision (43). In addition to ELISA on protein lysate, flow cytometry technique also can be used. Although ELISA is simple, cheap, and rapid test that can be available in each laboratory, flow cytometry is more reproducible than ELISA, because it has more direct fluorescence detection system versus the more indirect detection method of ELISA, and it is less prone to error and more precise method (44, 45).

Thus, future studies are necessary to introduce the rapid and simple method for A-T carriers and patients identification with high sensitivity and specificity.

Table-2: Different radio-sensitivity assays for ATM defect analysis

	Methods	References		
Colony formation				
1	colony survival assay	(46)		
Chromosomal damage				
2	G2 chromatid break	(25, 26, 47, 48)		
3	Micronucleus assay	(49-51)		
4	FISH chromosome painting	(52-54)		
DNA damage				
5	Comet assay	(27, 55)		
DNA damage pathway proteins				
6	γH2AX	(28, 31, 56)		
7	ATM-ELISA	(57, 58)		
8	53BP1 foci	(56, 59)		
9	pSMC1	(18)		
10	p53 centrosomal localization			
Expression Signature				
11	mRNA/miRNA	(22-24)		
12	SNP array	(60, 61)		

5- CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our results showed that in-cell ELISA on PBMCs can distinguish A-T patients but not carriers within 1-2 days. Assessment of ATM heterozygosity is useful for genetic counselling within A-T families, and possibly for estimation of cancer and therapeutic risks. Also pSMC1-ELISA can be used in blood-based detection of radiation exposure in humans. In spite of rapidness, convenience and accessibility of this method, it needs to be cautious to use it for routine practice due to poor imprecision and possible falsepositive potential for other genomic instability disorders.

6- CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors had not any financial or personal relationships with other people or organizations during the study. So there was no conflict of interests in this article.

7- ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was financially supported by a grant (project no. 26489-154-02-93) from Research Center for Immunodeficiencies, Vice-Chancellor for Research, Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

8- REFERENCES

1. Pietrucha B, Heropolitanska-Pliszka E, Gatti RA, Bernatowska E. Ataxia-Telangiectasia: guidelines for diagnosis and comprehensive care. Central European Journal of Immunology. 2007;32(4):234.

2. Chun HH, Gatti RA. Ataxiatelangiectasia, an evolving phenotype. DNA repair. 2004;3(8-9):1187-96.

3. Mavrou A, Tsangaris GT, Roma E, Kolialexi A. The ATM gene and ataxia telangiectasia. Anticancer research. 2008;28(1B):401-5.

4. Moin M, Aghamohammadi A, Kouhi A, Tavassoli S, Rezaei N, Ghaffari SR, et al. Ataxia-telangiectasia in Iran: clinical and laboratory features of 104 patients. Pediatric neurology. 2007;37(1):21-8.

5. Rezaei N, Pourpak Z, Aghamohammadi A, Farhoudi A, Movahedi M, Gharagozlou M, et al. Consanguinity in primary immunodeficiency disorders; the report from Iranian Primary Immunodeficiency Registry. American journal of reproductive immunology. 2006;56(2):145-51.

6. Swift M, Morrell D, Massey RB, Chase CL. Incidence of cancer in 161 families affected by ataxia-telangiectasia. The New England journal of medicine. 1991;325(26):1831-6.

7. van Os NJ, Roeleveld N, Weemaes CM, Jongmans MC, Janssens GO, Taylor AM,

et al. Health risks for ataxia-telangiectasia mutated heterozygotes: a systematic review, meta-analysis and evidence-based guideline. Clin Genet 2016;90(2):105-17.

8. Abolhassani H, Rezaei N, Mohammadinejad P, Mirminachi B, Hammarstrom L, Aghamohammadi A. Important differences in the diagnostic spectrum of primary immunodeficiency in adults versus children. Expert review of clinical immunology. 2015;11(2):289-302.

9. Uziel T, Savitsky K, Platzer M, Ziv Y, Helbitz T, Nehls M, et al. Genomic Organization of the ATM gene. Genomics. 1996;33(2):317-20.

10. McKinnon PJ. ATM and the molecular pathogenesis of ataxia telangiectasia. Annual review of pathology. 2012;7:303-21.

11. Stenson PD, Ball EV, Mort M, Phillips AD, Shiel JA, Thomas NS, et al. Human gene mutation database (HGMD®): 2003 update. Human mutation. 2003;21(6):577-81.

12. Micol R, Ben Slama L, Suarez F, Le Mignot L, Beaute J, Mahlaoui N, et al. Morbidity and mortality from ataxiatelangiectasia are associated with ATM genotype. The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology. 2011;128(2):382-9 e1.

13. Saunders-Pullman R, Raymond D, Stoessl AJ, Hobson D, Nakamura K, Pullman S, et al. Variant ataxia-telangiectasia presenting as primary-appearing dystonia in Canadian Mennonites. Neurology. 2012;78(9):649-57.

14. Perlman S, Becker-Catania S, Gatti RA. Ataxia-telangiectasia: diagnosis and treatment. Semin Pediatr Neurol. 2003;10(3):173-82.

15. Buzin CH, Gatti RA, Nguyen VQ, Wen CY, Mitui M, Sanal O, et al. Comprehensive scanning of the ATM gene with DOVAM-S. Human mutation. 2003;21(2):123-31.

16. Mitui M, Campbell C, Coutinho G, Sun X, Lai CH, Thorstenson Y, et al. Independent mutational events are rare in the ATM gene: haplotype prescreening enhances mutation detection rate. Human mutation. 2003;22(1):43-50.

17. Concannon P, Gatti RA. Diversity of ATM gene mutations detected in patients with ataxia-telangiectasia. Human mutation. 1997;10(2):100-7.

18. Nahas SA, Butch AW, Du L, Gatti RA. Rapid Flow Cytometry–Based Structural Maintenance of Chromosomes 1 (SMC1) Phosphorylation Assay for Identification of Ataxia-Telangiectasia Homozygotes and Heterozygotes. Clinical chemistry. 2009;55(3):463-72.

19. Ivey RG, Moore HD, Voytovich UJ, Thienes CP, Lorentzen TD, Pogosova-Agadjanyan EL, et al. Blood-based detection of radiation exposure in humans based on novel phospho-Smc1 ELISA. Radiation research. 2011;175(3):266-81.

20. Kitagawa R, Bakkenist CJ, McKinnon PJ, Kastan MB. Phosphorylation of SMC1 is a critical downstream event in the ATM-NBS1-BRCA1 pathway. Genes Dev. 2004;18(12):1423-38.

21. Nahas SA, Gatti RA. DNA double strand break repair defects, primary immunodeficiency disorders, and 'radiosensitivity'. Current opinion in allergy and clinical immunology. 2009;9(6):510-6.

22. Zhang L, Simpson DA, Innes CL, Chou J, Bushel PR, Paules RS, et al. Gene expression signatures but not cell cycle checkpoint functions distinguish AT carriers from normal individuals. Physiological genomics. 2013;45(19):907-16.

23. Smirnov DA, Cheung VG. ATM gene mutations result in both recessive and dominant expression phenotypes of genes and microRNAs. American journal of human genetics. 2008;83(2):243-53.

24. Watts JA, Morley M, Burdick JT, Fiori JL, Ewens WJ, Spielman RS, et al. Gene expression phenotype in heterozygous carriers of ataxia telangiectasia. American journal of human genetics. 2002;71(4):791-800.

25. Leonard JC, Mullinger AM, Schmidt J, Cordell HJ, Johnson RT. Genome instability in ataxia telangiectasia (A-T) families: camptothecin-induced damage to replicating

DNA discriminates between obligate A-T heterozygotes, A-T homozygotes and controls. Bioscience reports. 2004;24(6):617-29.

26. Tchirkov A, Bay JO, Pernin D, Bignon YJ, Rio P, Grancho M, et al. Detection of heterozygous carriers of the ataxiatelangiectasia (ATM) gene by G2 phase chromosomal radiosensitivity of peripheral blood lymphocytes. Human genetics. 1997;101(3):312-6.

27. Djuzenova CS, Schindler D, Stopper H, Hoehn H, Flentje M, Oppitz U. Identification of ataxia telangiectasia heterozygotes, a cancer-prone population, using the single-cell gel electrophoresis (Comet) assay. Laboratory investigation; a journal of technical methods and pathology. 1999;79(6):699-705.

28. Porcedda P, Turinetto V, Orlando L, Lantelme E, Brusco A, De Marchi M, et al. Two-tier analysis of histone H2AX phosphorylation allows the identification of Ataxia Telangiectasia heterozygotes. Radiotherapy and oncology : journal of the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology. 2009;92(1):133-7.

29. Verhagen MM, Last JI, Hogervorst FB, Smeets DF, Roeleveld N, Verheijen F, et al. Presence of ATM protein and residual kinase activity correlates with the phenotype in ataxia-telangiectasia: a genotype-phenotype study. Human mutation. 2012;33(3):561-71.

30. Becker-Catania SG, Chen G, Hwang MJ, Wang Z, Sun X, Sanal O, et al. Ataxiatelangiectasia: phenotype/genotype studies of ATM protein expression, mutations, and radiosensitivity. Molecular genetics and metabolism. 2000;70(2):122-33.

31. Porcedda P, Turinetto V, Brusco A, Cavalieri S, Lantelme E, Orlando L, et al. A rapid flow cytometry test based on histone H2AX phosphorylation for the sensitive and specific diagnosis of ataxia telangiectasia. Cytometry Part A. 2008;73(6):508-16.

32. Lobrich M, Shibata A, Beucher A, Fisher A, Ensminger M, Goodarzi AA, et al. gammaH2AX foci analysis for monitoring DNA double-strand break repair: strengths, limitations and optimization. Cell cycle. 2010;9(4):662-9. 33. Doil C, Mailand N, Bekker-Jensen S, Menard P, Larsen DH, Pepperkok R, et al. RNF168 binds and amplifies ubiquitin conjugates on damaged chromosomes to allow accumulation of repair proteins. Cell. 2009;136(3):435-46.

34. Valdiglesias V, Giunta S, Fenech M, Neri M, Bonassi S. γ H2AX as a marker of DNA double strand breaks and genomic instability in human population studies. Mutation Research/Reviews in Mutation Research. 2013;753(1):24-40.

35. Turinetto V, Giachino C. Multiple facets of histone variant H2AX: a DNA double-strand-break marker with several biological functions. Nucleic acids research. 2015;43(5):2489-98.

36. Tu WZ, Li B, Huang B, Wang Y, Liu XD, Guan H, et al. gammaH2AX foci formation in the absence of DNA damage: mitotic H2AX phosphorylation is mediated by the DNA-PKcs/CHK2 pathway. FEBS letters. 2013;587(21):3437-43.

37. Nakamura K, Fike F, Haghayegh S, Saunders-Pullman R, Dawson AJ, Dork T, et al. A-TWinnipeg: Pathogenesis of rare ATM missense mutation c.6200C>A with decreased protein expression and downstream signaling, early-onset dystonia, cancer, and lifethreatening radiotoxicity. Molecular genetics & genomic medicine. 2014;2(4):332-40.

38. So EY, Ausman M, Saeki T, Ouchi T. Phosphorylation of SMC1 by ATR is required for desferrioxamine (DFO)-induced apoptosis. Cell death & disease. 2011;2:e128.

39. Schwab RA, Blackford AN, Niedzwiedz W. ATR activation and replication fork restart are defective in FANCM-deficient cells. The EMBO journal. 2010;29(4):806-18.

40. Zhong H, Bryson A, Eckersdorff M, Ferguson DO. Rad50 depletion impacts upon ATR-dependent DNA damage responses. Human molecular genetics. 2005;14(18):2685-93.

41. Tomimatsu N, Mukherjee B, Burma S. Distinct roles of ATR and DNA-PKcs in triggering DNA damage responses in ATM-deficient cells. EMBO reports. 2009;10(6):629-35.

42. Yazdi PT, Wang Y, Zhao S, Patel N, Lee EY, Qin J. SMC1 is a downstream effector in the ATM/NBS1 branch of the human S-phase checkpoint. Genes Dev. 2002;16(5):571-82.

43. Sullivan JJ, Goh KS. Evaluation and validation of a commercial ELISA for diazinon in surface waters. J Agric Food Chem. 2000;48(9):4071-8.

44. Carson RT, Vignali DA. Simultaneous quantitation of 15 cytokines using a multiplexed flow cytometric assay. Journal of immunological methods. 1999;227(1-2):41-52.

45. Dasso J, Lee J, Bach H, Mage RG. A comparison of ELISA and flow microspherebased assays for quantification of immunoglobulins. Journal of immunological methods. 2002;263(1-2):23-33.

46. Sun X, Becker-Catania SG, Chun HH, Hwang MJ, Huo Y, Wang Z, et al. Early diagnosis of ataxia-telangiectasia using radiosensitivity testing. The Journal of pediatrics. 2002;140(6):724-31.

47. Shiloh Y, Parshad R, Frydman M, Sanford KK, Portnoi S, Ziv Y, et al. G2 chromosomal radiosensitivity in families with ataxia-telangiectasia. Human genetics. 1989;84(1):15-8.

48. Bryant P, Gray L, Riches A, Steel C, Finnon P, Howe O, et al. Technical report. The G2 chromosomal radiosensitivity assay. International journal of radiation biology. 2002;78(9):863-6.

49. Rosin MP, Ochs HD. In vivo chromosomal instability in ataxiatelangiectasia homozygotes and heterozygotes. Human genetics. 1986;74(4):335-40.

50. Claes K, Depuydt J, Taylor AM, Last JI, Baert A, Schietecatte P, et al. Variant ataxia telangiectasia: clinical and molecular findings and evaluation of radiosensitive phenotypes in a patient and relatives. Neuromolecular medicine. 2013;15(3):447-57.

51. Willems P, August L, Slabbert J, Romm H, Oestreicher U, Thierens H, et al. Automated micronucleus (MN) scoring for population triage in case of large scale radiation events. International journal of radiation biology. 2010;86(1):2-11. 52. Stumm M, Neubauer S, Keindorff S, Wegner RD, Wieacker P, Sauer R. High frequency of spontaneous translocations revealed by FISH in cells from patients with the cancer-prone syndromes ataxia telangiectasia and Nijmegen breakage syndrome. Cytogenetics and cell genetics. 2001;92(3-4):186-91.

53. Neubauer S, Arutyunyan R, Stumm M, Dork T, Bendix R, Bremer M, et al. Radiosensitivity of ataxia telangiectasia and Nijmegen breakage syndrome homozygotes and heterozygotes as determined by three-color FISH chromosome painting. Radiation research. 2002;157(3):312-21.

54. Distel LV, Neubauer S. Chromosomal Instability in Ataxia Telangiectasia. In: Ahmad S, editor. Molecular Mechanisms of Ataxia Telangiectasia: CRC Press; 2009. p. 52-62.

Mirzayans R, Severin D, Murray D. 55. Relationship between DNA double-strand break rejoining and cell survival after exposure to ionizing radiation in human fibroblast strains with differing ATM/p53 status: evaluation of implications for clinical radiosensitivity. International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics. 2006;66(5):1498-505.

56. Martin NT, Nahas SA, Tunuguntla R, Fike F, Gatti RA. Assessing 'radiosensitivity' with kinetic profiles of γ -H2AX, 53BP1 and BRCA1 foci. Radiotherapy and Oncology. 2011;101(1):35-8. 57. Butch AW, Chun HH, Nahas SA, Gatti RA. Immunoassay to measure ataxiatelangiectasia mutated protein in cellular lysates. Clin Chem. 2004;50(12):2302-8.

58. Chun HH, Sun X, Nahas SA, Teraoka S, Lai CH, Concannon P, et al. Improved diagnostic testing for ataxia-telangiectasia by immunoblotting of nuclear lysates for ATM protein expression. Molecular genetics and metabolism. 2003;80(4):437-43.

59. Devgan SS, Sanal O, Doil C, Nakamura K, Nahas SA, Pettijohn K, et al. Homozygous deficiency of ubiquitin-ligase ring-finger protein RNF168 mimics the radiosensitivity syndrome of ataxiatelangiectasia. Cell death and differentiation. 2011;18(9):1500-6.

60. Ghosh S, Krux F, Binder V, Gombert M, Niehues T, Feyen O, et al. Array-based sequence capture and next-generation sequencing for the identification of primary immunodeficiencies. Scand J Immunol. 2012;75(3):350-4.

61. H'Mida-Ben Brahim D, M'Zahem A, Assoum M, Bouhlal Y, Fattori F, Anheim M, et al. Molecular diagnosis of known recessive ataxias by homozygosity mapping with SNP arrays. Journal of neurology. 2011;258(1):56-67.