A Systematic Review of the Prevalence of Mental Health Disorders in Pregnant Women during the COVID-19 Pandemic
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Abstract

Background
There is not enough evidence to estimate the prevalence of depression and anxiety in pregnant women during the COVID-19 outbreak. This study aimed to investigate the prevalence of mental health disorders among pregnant women during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Materials and Methods: In the present systematic review, a search process was conducted to screen the databases of ProQuest, Scopus, EMBASE, Web of Science, and MEDLINE for the relevant articles published between 2019 and 2020. The quality of the articles was assessed by the STROBE checklist.

Results: From the relevant studies, 15 were selected for review. The results showed the prevalence of anxiety was between 3.8 to 17.5% in Asian countries, with the lowest in Iran (3.8%) and the highest in Sri Lanka (17.5%). The prevalence of anxiety was from 23.9 to 72% in Western countries, with the lowest in the USA (23%) and the highest in Canada (72%). In two of the studies in China, the prevalence of anxiety was from 3.09 to 29.6% and of depression from 5.2 to 40%. The incidence rate of self-harm thoughts as a result of the epidemic was significantly high (RR=2.85, 95% CI= 1.70, 8.85, P=0.005).

Conclusion: The prevalence of anxiety was from 3.8 to 17.5% in Asian countries and from 23.9 to 72% in Western countries. The prevalence of depression was from 5.2 to 40%. Moderate levels of anxiety and depression were reported in Western countries compared with Asian countries. Depression and anxiety should be regularly screened in obstetrics and gynecology wards following the current epidemic to ensure optimal mental health during pregnancy and infancy.
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1- INTRODUCTION

Several cases of acute respiratory syndrome caused by a virus of the Corona family were identified in December 2019. The virus was named COVID-19 soon afterwards (1). The symptoms included diarrhea, vomiting, nausea, myalgia, difficulty breathing, sore throat, cough, and chills. No pathognomonic symptom was observed (2). Physical and social (family, friends, community, schools, and even daycares) isolation and quarantine were globally set in place. The effect of COVID-19 on mental health should be investigated with urgency to prevent the spread of serious mental illnesses as a secondary outcome (3). The social health of the community has been severely affected by the sudden outbreak of COVID-19 due to factors such as overflowing misinformation about the epidemic on social media, unlimited quarantine periods, unpredictability of the situation, and unavailability of a vaccine which in turn have led to extreme behaviors and even suicidal tendencies (4). The psychological impact of COVID-19 and consequent quarantine measures are a concern for pregnant women and new mothers because prenatal and postpartum depression and anxiety may lead to adverse effects on both mother and baby (5). Some of the unintended complications due to psychological disorders during pregnancy include miscarriage, premature birth, and low birth weight (6). The clinical presentations of COVID-19 pneumonia in pregnant women were similar to non-pregnant adult patients with COVID-19 pneumonia (7). Perinatal depression and anxiety may lead to premature birth, reduced maternal-infant bonding, and delayed neonatal cognitive/emotional development, probably persisting into childhood (8). The literature review found no evidence to estimate the prevalence of depression and anxiety in pregnant women during the COVID-19 outbreak. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the prevalence of mental health disorders among pregnant women during the COVID-19 pandemic.

2- MATERIALS AND METHODS

2-1. Study participants

The research subjects of this systematic review were pregnant and postpartum women.

2-2. Study outcomes

The outcome in this systematic review was the prevalence of mental health disorders among pregnant women during the COVID-19 pandemic.

2-3. Eligible studies

The final number of eligible articles for this systematic review after deleting non-relevant articles and duplicates was 15 (Figure. 1).

2-4. Study databases

The electronic bibliographic databases to select the relevant articles in the present systematic review included ProQuest, Scopus, EMBASE, ISI Web of Science, and MEDLINE published between 2019 and 2020. Other databases searched for gray literature included conference articles and related journals from inception until August, 2020. The main keywords used were: (pregnant OR pregnancy OR partum OR prepartum OR prenatal OR gestation maternal) AND (epidemic OR pandemic OR COVID-19 OR SARS-COV 2) AND (anxiety OR mental). In the present systematic review, the abstract of the searched articles was first reviewed to identify the eligible studies. The full-text of the eligible articles was then downloaded and examined to prepare the final list of included studies. Two reviewers performed this process, once jointly and once independently, and any discrepancy was resolved by a third reviewer.
2-5. Data collection process
Data from the selected studies (authors, year of publication, location, sample population, and main outcomes) were collected by two independent reviewers who then combined and compared the data for accuracy. Any discrepancy was resolved by a third reviewer (Table.1).

2-6. Quality assessment
The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) checklist was used for quality assessment (9) in three categories of low, moderate and high quality by two independent reviewers. Any disagreements between raters were resolved through discussions with a third reviewer. Table. 1 shows the results of Risk of bias (quality assessment).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Year, Reference</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Main finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ceulemans et al., 2020, (5)</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>5866</td>
<td>25.3% of Belgium pregnant women had depression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saadati et al., 2020, (1)</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>In this study, 9%, 13% and 21% of the women reported severe anxiety with scores of ≥35 in the first, second and third trimester of pregnancy, respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hossain et al. 2020, (16)</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>14.3% women had anxiety and depression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dagklis et al., 2020, (18)</td>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>The prevalence rate of Anxiety was 53.4%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saacone et al., 2020, (19)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>1883</td>
<td>68% of women had anxiety according to STAI &gt;36.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu, et al., 2020, (48)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>4124</td>
<td>The results showed that significantly higher depression scores than pre-COVID-19 epidemic (26.0% versus 29.6%, P=0.02).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebel et al., 2020, (3)</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>High levels of anxiety (57%), and depression (37%) among Canadian pregnant women were reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gharagozloo et al., 2020, (17)</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>3.8% of Iranian pregnant women reported severe anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mappa et al., 2020, (15)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>38.2% of women had a STAI-T score of ≥40.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patabendige et al., 2020, (11)</td>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>The prevalence of depression was 19.5%, and prevalence of the anxiety was 17.5%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moyer et al., 2020, (20)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>1232</td>
<td>The mean PRAS score of 20.6 before the COVID-19 pandemic (95% CI=20.5-20.7), and the mean PRAS score of 23.9 after the COVID-19 pandemic (95% CI=23.8-24.0).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farewell et al., 2020, (12)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>56% of the USA pregnant women reported anxiety on the GAD-7 score ≥10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mei et al., 2020, (13)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>2448</td>
<td>23.09% and 4.72% mild and moderate-to-severe levels of anxiety during the COVID-19 pandemic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durankuş et al., 2020, (14)</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>35.4% of Turkish pregnant women had depression based on the EPDS score of &gt;13.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davenport et al., 2020, (8)</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>29% had anxiety before the pandemic and 72% of women after the pandemic.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STROBE score range: 0-22, STROBE: STrengthening the Reporting of OBservational studies in Epidemiology.

3- RESULTS

Finally, 15 studies were selected for the systematic review.

3-1. The prevalence of depression

Studies conducted in Asian countries: Wu et al. studied Chinese pregnant women (n=4124) after the emergence of COVID-19 epidemic. The results showed significantly higher depression scores than pre-COVID-19 epidemic (26.0% versus 29.6%, P=0.02). Pre-pregnancy underweight, primiparity, age of less than 35 years, working full-time, moderate income, and poor living conditions were risk factors for depression and anxiety during the outbreak (10). In Sri Lanka, Patabendige et al. studied pregnant women (n=257), and reported an overall prevalence of depression of 19.5% (11). In a study in China, the moderate-to-severe depression rate was 11.35% and 0.51% after and before the COVID-19 pandemic, respectively (12).
Studies conducted in Western countries: Davenport et al., reported a self-identified Edinburgh Postpartum Depression Scale (EPDS) score of >13 (symptoms of depression) among 40.7% of respondents compared to 15% before the pandemic (8). Lebel et al. reported an increase in symptoms of anxiety and depression in Canadian pregnant women (n=1987) compared to similar pre-epidemic pregnancy groups, with 37% clinical signs of depression and 57% clinical signs of anxiety (3). In Farewell’s study, North American pregnant women (n=27) reported a 12% depression score in PHQ-2 (13). In a study by Durankuş et al., 35.4% of Turkish pregnant women had depression according to the score of >13 on the EPDS. The social isolation significantly affects the EPDS scores (14). In a study by Ceulemans et al., 25.3% of Belgian pregnant women had an EPDS score of ≥13, with 8.4% moderate, and 5.2% severe depression. Their findings during the social isolation indicated higher levels of generalized anxiety disorder, reported in >40% of the surveyed women who scored ≥5 based on the Ehlers-Danlos Syndrome (EDS) anxiety subscale (5).

3-2. The prevalence of anxiety

Studies conducted in Western countries: Lebel et al. found high levels of anxiety (57%), and depression (37%) among Canadian pregnant women compared to the same pre-pandemic population. A relationship was found between fewer psychological symptoms and higher levels of perceived social support, support effectiveness, and more physical activity (3, 15). Dagklis et al., studied Greek pregnant women (n=146) for anxiety and found a prevalence rate of 53.4%. They showed that the main independent risk factors for anxiety were the number of weeks after the lockdown and smoking during pregnancy (16). Davenport et al., examined Canadian pregnant women and found moderate to high anxiety rates (State-Trait Anxiety inventory scores of >40) in 29% of women before the pandemic (mean STAI = 34.5 ± 11.4) compared to 72% after the pandemic (mean STAI = 48.1 ± 13.6) (8). Saccone et al., in Italy reported a mean STAI score of 45.21, and a total STAI >36 of 68% (17). Moyer et al., studied North American pregnant women and reported a mean Pregnancy-Related Anxiety Scale (PRAS) score of 20.6 before the COVID-19 pandemic (95% CI=20.5-20.7) compared to a mean PRAS score of 23.9 after the COVID-19 pandemic (95% CI=23.8-24.0) (18). Mappa et al. in Italy evaluated pregnant women (n=200), and obtained a median trait anxiety score (STAI-T) of 37 (IQR20–43) and found that 38.2% of women (95% CI=31.3–45.5) had a STAI-T score of ≥40. They also found a relationship between higher educational levels and high prevalence rates of STAI-S ≥40 (19). In a study by Farewell et al., 56% of the North American pregnant women had anxiety on the GAD-7 score ≥10 (13).

Studies conducted in Asian countries: Patabendige et al., examined pregnant women in Sri Lanka and found a 17.5% prevalence of anxiety (11). Hossain et al., examined Pakistani pregnant women (n=286) and reported 41 (14.3%) women with a score of ≥7, which denotes the degree of anxiety and depression (20). Gharagozloo et al., studied Iranian pregnant women (n=200), and reported a 3.8% prevalence of severe anxiety. In another study, 9%, 13%, and 21% of the women suffered from severe anxiety with scores of ≥35 in the first, second, and third trimester of pregnancy, respectively (1). Mei et al., studied the Chinese pregnant woman and reported 23.09%, and 4.72% mild and moderate-to-severe levels of anxiety during the COVID-19 pandemic (12). The incidence rate of self-harm thoughts as a result of the COVID-19 epidemic was significantly high (RR=2.85,
95% CI = 1.70, 8.85, P = 0.005). In a study in Iran, 9%, 13%, and 21% of women reported severe anxiety scores of ≥35 in the first, second, and third trimester of pregnancy, respectively (1).

4- DISCUSSION

This study aimed to investigate the prevalence of mental health disorders among pregnant women during the COVID-19 epidemic. The prevalence of anxiety was 3.8 to 17.5% in Asian countries and 23.9 to 72% in Western countries. The prevalence of depression was 5.2 to 40%. Pre-pregnancy underweight, primiparity, age of less than 35 years, working full-time, moderate income, and poor living conditions were risk factors for depression and anxiety during the outbreak. The lockdown and social isolation significantly affects the depression scores. Lower social support and higher educational level were associated with a higher prevalence. Most pregnant women and new mothers could not leave the house, often had other children to care for, and had no help at home (5). It should also be noted that some women took advantage of this opportunity to do housework or benefited from having their partners staying at home (21).

A meta-analysis examined 221974 women from 34 countries. The self-reported anxiety symptoms had a prevalence of 18.2%, 19.1%, and 24.6% in the first, second, and third trimesters, respectively (22). Fakari et al., found some of the reasons for the concerns of pregnant women, including commute issues during the COVID-19 epidemic, and suggested tele-counseling for pregnancy care, and tele-triage to reduce the anxiety and depression symptoms as well as to provide safe home birth services (23). All the studies reported a high prevalence of mental distress and disorders, including depression, stress, irritability, and insomnia in individuals under quarantine. Although the STAI scores can be used to assess the presence of anxiety, the lack of previous data on anxiety in the same group of women before the onset of the epidemic makes it difficult to evaluate the true impact of the COVID-19 epidemic (24). The intensity of the symptoms range from mild to severe in different individuals (24, 25). Mild health anxiety may spur an individual to seek clinical care if that clinical observation is certified (25). Sever health anxiety is defined by various cognitive, physical, and behavioral aspects that usually appear in following cycles when an individual is stressed, significantly ill, or experiences the loss of a family member (24). The typical behaviors of patients diagnosed with HA are often accompanied with substantial costs because these patients frequently visit doctors, request additional tests, and search textbooks or the Internet for more information (26). These individuals also experience higher levels of concern when seeking routine medical care (27). According to the evaluations, 9%, 13%, and 21% of the women had severe anxiety in the first, second and third trimester of pregnancy, respectively (1).

Pregnancy is one of the most stressful periods in a woman's life. Changes happening during this period lead to an increased vulnerability and a higher risk of depression and anxiety (28, 29). The COVID-19 epidemic has doubled the levels of anxiety (30). Pregnant women and infants are more vulnerable to COVID-19 than the non-pregnant population (31). Vulnerability to a disease increases the perception of the risk of disease in pregnant women, leading to increased levels of anxiety (32). The highest levels of anxiety experienced by pregnant women occur when leaving home, using public transportation, or being in public places. Consequently, the risk of COVID-19 and the concerns about the health of the fetus and family members
increase the level of anxiety more than ever (33). Lockdown is often the first response for protection against infectious diseases (34). However, it strongly affects people’s mental state. Longer periods of lockdown are associated with more severe symptoms (35). Social isolation following quarantine is one of the effective factors in increasing the level of anxiety and depression in pregnant women (36). Another consequence of staying at home during the lockdown is increasing levels of domestic violence (37). Domestic violence during pregnancy is one of the most important and influential factors in inducing postpartum anxiety and depression (38). Measures taken to control the virus may also disrupt the supporting services for pregnant women and increase financial problems (39). Adequate social support during pregnancy is a known protective factor against postpartum depression (40) which can also minimize stress and anxiety levels during pregnancy (41). Pregnant women need emotional support more than any other time in their lives. Due to the restrictions and limited communication with their relatives and friends, pregnant women do not receive sufficient social support (23).

With the prevalence of COVID-19 epidemic in the world, the access of pregnant women to prenatal care has decreased, which has a significant effect on increasing maternal and neonatal complications (8). Pregnant women who did not receive prenatal care due to the epidemic had the highest levels of anxiety (3). Lack of access to health systems due to the COVID-19 epidemic may cause more complications for pregnant women (42). With the outbreak of Coronavirus, many hospitals have banned the presence of families and even spouses during childbirth. This factor increases the psychological vulnerability of mothers and heightens the risk of postpartum depression (43). By creating appropriate social distancing measures in hospitals, it may be possible to alleviate depressive symptoms in mothers (44). Another factor in increasing the prevalence of anxiety and depression in pregnant women is the focus of the media on the news about the epidemic. Higher levels of depression and anxiety were observed in women who spent more time watching television (11). Prenatal anxiety and depression are associated with an increased risk of miscarriage, preterm delivery, low birth weight, and low Apgar scores at birth. Children of mothers who had high stress levels during pregnancy are more likely to have cognitive and behavioral problems and are more vulnerable to subsequent psychological problems as well (3). The COVID-19 epidemic has also reduced the access to psychotherapy and medication, which in turn leads to deterioration in maternal mental health (45).

The potentially serious consequences of untreated anxiety and depressive symptoms in pregnancy on maternal and infant outcomes and their reversibility necessitate urgent action to address the symptoms. The psychological consequences of the COVID-19 epidemic can be as dangerous as the physical effects of the disease (46). Psychological interventions are generally very effective in preventing and treating depression and anxiety during pregnancy. As a primary treatment, Cognitive-behavioral therapy helps reduce depression as well as increase the social support (3). Health education has undergone many changes in recent years, particularly progressed with extended access to information and communication technology through the Internet networks (47). Online education and psychological counseling during pregnancy are approaches that can greatly improve preparation for childbirth, reduce the risk of infection, and benefit the economic and health systems and the women themselves. Reducing unnecessary
hospital visits can minimize the potential risk of infection in this vulnerable groups (48). Studies show that, even in times of disaster, online mental health care has beneficial effects, especially during the lockdown. On the other hand, it is difficult for people in lower socio-economic strata to access online tools. For these people, using telephone lines can be useful to receive mental health interventions (49). Online education for spouses of pregnant women is also suggested as a strategy to reduce the levels of depression and anxiety (50). Encouraging women to engage in physical activity and exercise can be another way to alleviate the level of anxiety and depression (3).

4-1. Study Limitations

This review had some limitations that need to be addressed in the future studies. The prevalence rates were assessed in different times during the epidemic; for example, some studies were conducted in the heart of the outbreak. Also, different tools were used to measure anxiety and depression. Finally, the sample size was relatively small in some studies.

5- CONCLUSION

The prevalence of anxiety was 3.8 to 17.5% in Asian countries and 23.9 to 72% in Western countries. The prevalence of depression was 5.2 to 40%. Pre-pregnancy underweight, primiparity, age of less than 35 years, working full-time, moderate income, and poor living conditions were risk factors for depression and anxiety during the outbreak. The lockdown and social isolation significantly affects the depression scores. Lower social support and higher educational levels were associated with higher prevalence rates. Moderate levels of anxiety and depression were reported in western countries compared with Asian countries. Depression and anxiety should be screened regularly in obstetrics and gynecology wards following the current epidemic to ensure optimal mental health during pregnancy and infancy.
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