

Lactate Dehydrogenase as a New Prognostic Factor for Mortality in Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children

Seyed Hesamedin Nabavizadeh ^{1, 2}, Mina Esmailli ³, Hossein Esmaeilzadeh ^{1, 2}, Soheila Alyasin ^{1, 2}, * Aida Askarisarvestani ¹

¹ Division of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.

² Allergy Research Center, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.

³ Clinical Pediatrician, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.

Abstract

Background: Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children (MIS-C) is a rather newly described entity that can potentially end in multisystem failure in children following COVID-19 infection. The prognosis of patients with MIS-C is multifactorial; consequently, many risk factors increase the risk of mortality and severity of this disease. In this study we aimed to evaluate the prognostic effect of various parameters in mortality and intensive care unit admission of patients with MIS-C.

Methods: in this cross-sectional study, the information of patients with MIS-C were extracted in a tertiary pediatric center during a one-year period. The relationship between mortality and ICU admission of the patients with demographic information and lab data were assessed.

Results: a total of 88 male-predominant (56.8% vs. 43.2%, P=0.135) entered the study. Seven patients had expired and 71 patients were discharged from the hospital. In our study, demographic information of the patients and their lab data were not associated with mortality except for Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH) level. All of the expired patients had elevated LDH, while only 53.1% of the discharged patients showed increased LDH (P=0.016); on the other hand, LDH did not differ between patients who were managed in ICUs and the ones who were managed in wards.

Conclusion: LDH can be counted as a prognostic tool for mortality in MIS-C and might be regarded as a part of evaluation for ICU admission in this disease.

Key Words: COVID-19, Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH), Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children (MIS-C).

<u>* Please cite this article as</u>: Nabavizadeh SH, Esmailli M, Esmaeilzadeh H, Alyasin S, Askarisarvestani A. Lactate Dehydrogenase as a New Prognostic Factor for Mortality in Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children. Int J Pediatr 2024; 12 (03):18649-18658. DOI: **10.22038/ijp.2024.80040.5455**

Received date: Jan.19,2024; Accepted date: Mar.05,2024

^{*}Corresponding Author:

Aida Askarisarvestani, Division of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran. Email: askariaida@gmail.com

1- INTRODUCTION

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) was found to be responsible for pneumonia cases first encountered in December 2019 in China (1). Acute COVID-19 primarily affects the respiratory system and is mostly asymptomatic or mild in the pediatric population (2). In severe cases of COVID-19, the pathogenesis is mostly based on immune dysregulation and the cytokine storm followed by inappropriate immune system activation (3). Such a pathogenic base is postulated to be responsible for long-term COVID-19 complications such as multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C) (4).

MIS-C is defined by World Health Organization and United States Center for Disease Control and prevention as longlasting fever, with paraclinical evidence of apparent inflammation, with involvement of at least two organ systems, with evidence of current or recent COVID-19 infection and no other explanatory inflammatory or infectious process (5, 6).

Patients mostly present with fever and gastrointestinal and cardiovascular symptoms such as abdominal pain, vomiting, and tachycardia, myocarditis, decreased left ventricular ejection fraction, and hypotension (4).

Patients with MIS-C might follow a severe course of the disease requiring intensive care unit admission. Approximately 2% of the patients with MIS-C have been reported to have expired in their course of hospitalization. Such patients were more likely to be older and were shown to have gastrointestinal and cardiovascular symptoms (7, 8).

In this study, we aimed to evaluate the risk factors for MIS-C mortality and find a new criterion for PICU admission in MIS-C patients.

2- METHODS AND MATERIALS

2-1. Design and participants

This study followed a cross-sectional protocol. Participants of the study included patients afflicted with MIS-C who were admitted to Namazee hospital, the referral tertiary center of Pediatrics in Southern Iran, affiliated to Shiraz University of Medical Sciences. In this study, the contact information of the patients who were admitted to PICU and pediatric wards of our center were extracted. After reaching out to the patients and/or their legal interview guardians, sessions were planned. After obtaining a written consent form, the patients and/or their legal guardians were asked about the course of their disease and the presented symptoms of MIS-C as well as their demographic information. The cases were selected from among the patients and further clinical data of the patients in regards to their course of hospitalization were evaluated.

2-1-1. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria of our study encompassed all of the patients younger than 18 years old, who were admitted to our center from March 2020 to March 2021 with a diagnosis of MIS-C based on CDC criteria, i.e. a persistent fever for more than a day, along with evidence of organ involvement as well as evidence of current or previous COVID-19 infection. The exclusion criteria of our study were age ranges older than 18 years old, inability to access the clinical data or inability to contact the patients' legal guardians, and unwillingness of the patients and/or their legal guardians to participate in the study. A census method was used for sampling.

2-2. Data collection

The diagnosis of MIS-C was made based on the American Center for Disease Control, and Prevention criteria was as follows: patients younger than 21 years old with fever more than 38 Celsius degrees for more than 24 hours, in conjunction with involvement of more than two organ gastrointestinal, systems including hematologic, cardiac. mucocutaneous, renal, respiratory, or neurological systems with elevated inflammatory markers and SARS-CoV-2 **RT-PCR** positive or serologic testing or a positive history of exposure to confirmed COVID-19 cases (9).

The information of the patients were extracted using a checklist containing the demographic data, presenting symptoms of disease. the total the course of hospitalization, PICU admission, final outcome, and the paraclinical data such as data laboratory on admission. echocardiography findings, imaging studies, and SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR or serologic tests, as well as treatment strategies used for the patients.

2-3. Data analysis

The data was categorized into categorical qualitative variables. The data was then entered into IBM SPSS version 26.0 and reported as frequency and percentages. The associations between outcome and PICU admission with other variables were evaluated using chi-squared test or Fischer's exact test as appropriate.

3- RESULTS

A total of 88 patients with a diagnosis of MIS-C entered the study. The highest proportion of patients (34 patients or 38.6%) were 2-5 years old. Fifty patients (56.8%) were male and 38 (43.2%) were female. Seven patients (8.0%) expired in their hospitalization course while 81 patients (92.0%) survived the disease. Among the studied population, 40 patients (45.5%) were not admitted to PICU, when 48 patients (54.5%) had PICU admission. **Table 1** summarizes the demographic information of the patients. The associations between various demographic information. MIS-C presentations, and lab data with outcome were evaluated using Chi-squared test or Fischer's exact test. In these analyses only abnormal Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH) was associated with the worst outcome. Consumption of intravenous immunoglobulins was associated with better outcomes in MIS-C patients.

We then examined the association between PICU admission with the demographic information, MIS-C presentation, and paraclinical findings of the patients. Older patients were more likely to be admitted to PICU: moreover, patients with hypotension, abnormal echocardiography, electrolyte imbalance, elevated troponin, procalcitonin, and D-dimer were more in prone of ICU admission. However, LDH was not a discriminating factor in decision making with regards to ICU admission in MIS-C patients.

4- DISCUSSION

In this study, 88 patients with MIS-C were evaluated, seven of whom (7.95%) died due to this disease. In this study, we found that patients with higher lactate dehydrogenase levels were more likely to expire. Furthermore, treatment with IVIG was associated with a better outcome in these patients. Patients with older age, hypotension, abnormal echocardiographic findings, electrolyte imbalance, high procalcitonin, D-dimer, and troponin were more likely to be admitted to PICU. However, LDH level was not different between patients with and without PICU admission.

In two systematic reviews by Santos et al. and Jiang et al., it was reported that the total mortality rate for MIS-C was about 2%. However in our study, about 8% of the patients expired due to MIS-C. Such difference might be attributed to various factors such as the national COVID-19 vaccination program for the pediatric population, health seeking behaviors of the studied populations, PICU beds and their equipment, and the demographic differences among the studied populations (7, 8).

In our study, apart from long-lasting fever, the most common presenting sign and symptom of MIS-C was dermatologic rash followed by gastroenteritis and tachycardia. In a systematic review by Santos et al., the authors found that the most common symptoms of MIS-C were fever, gastrointestinal symptoms, abdominal pain, and rash. Even though our findings are relatively similar, the small difference between the results might have been due to the retrospective nature of our study compared to other studies (7).

Variable		Frequency	Percentage	
	0-1 year	6	6.8%	
	2-5 years	34	38.6%	
Age	6-10 years	30	34.1%	
	11-14 years	13	14.8%	
	15-18 years	5	5.7%	
Sex	Male	50	56.8%	
Sex	Female	38	43.2%	
	Underweight	21	23.9%	
Weight	Normal	56	63.6%	
	Overweight	11	12.5%	
History of	Positive	5	5.7%	
prematurity	Negative	83	94.3%	
Dessive smalring	Positive	15	17.0%	
Passive smoking	Negative	73	83.0%	
Breast milk	Yes	75	85.2%	
consumption	No	13	14.8%	
Hospitalization	≤7 days	35	39.8%	
duration	>7 days	53	60.2%	
PICU admission	No	40	45.5%	
	<3 days	25	28.4%	
	≥3 days	23	26.1%	
outcomo	Expiration	7	8.0%	
outcome	Survival	81	92.0%	

Table-1: Demographic and clinical information of the patients

In a study by Cetin et al., by evaluating 63 patients with MIS-C in Turkey, they found that brain natriuretic peptide, serum total protein, and troponin levels could be used in a model to predict mortality in MIS_C.; they also found that a D-dimer level of 2890 had a sensitivity of 91.2% for mortality, and a BNP level of 8332 had an 86.4% specificity for mortality in MIS-C patients. However, in their study, LDH

was not associated with mortality (10). In order to shed light on the reasons behind the difference between their findings and ours, further studies with larger sample sizes are needed.

In another study by Angurana et al., conducted on 40 children from northern India, two patients (5%) died due to MIS-C.; they found that both patients who died in their course of hospitalization experienced refractory shock. They also found that leukocytosis and decreased ejection fraction in echocardiography were prognostic factors of shock and consequently, mortality in children with MIS-C. However, due to the small number of patients, and unknown pathophysiology of shock in those patients, this conclusion might be oversimplified. They did not find any other difference between the patients with and without shock regarding their laboratory findings (11).

In a research study performed by Alkan et al. on 36 children with MIS-C from Turkey, they divided the patients into three subcategories of mild, moderate, and severe MIS-C., finding that patients with severe MIS-C were more likely to have lymphopenia, higher procalcitonin, higher interleukin 6, higher troponin, higher pro-BNP, D-dimer, and ferritin. In their study, although the mean LDH level of patients with severe MIS-C was higher than mild and moderate MIS-C, this difference was not statistically significant. The apparent contrast in the studies might be due to the fact that the severity of MIS-C was defined by the authors rather than by an objective finding, such as death (12).

Table-2: Evaluation of the effects of demographic and clinical information of the patients on MIS-C mortality

Variable			Expiration	Survival	P-value
			(n=7)	(n=81)	1
		0-1 year	0 (0.0%)	6 (7.4%)	
		2-5 years	3 (42.9%)	31 (38.3%)	
	Age	6-10 years	2 (28.6%)	28 (34.6%)	0.806
		11-14 years	1 (14.3%)	12 (14.8%)	
		15-18 years	1 (14.3%)	4 (4.9%)	
	Sex	Male	6 (85.7%)	44 (54.3%)	0.135
	JCA	Female	1 (14.3%)	37 (45.7%)	0.135
		Underweight	2 (28.6%)	19 (23.5%)	
	Weight	Normal	5 (71.4%)	51 (63.0%)	0.579
Democratic		Overweight	0 (0.0%)	11 (13.6%)	
Demographic information	History of	Positive	0 (0.0%)	5 (6.2%)	0.654
Information	prematurity	Negative	7 (100%)	76 (93.8%)	0.054
	Passive smoking	Positive	0 (0.0%)	15 (18.5%)	0.598
		Negative	7 (100%)	66 (81.5%)	
	Breast milk	Yes	7 (100%)	68 (84.0%)	0.313
	consumption	No	0 (0.0%)	13 (16.0%)	0.515
	Hospitalization	≤7 days	4 (57.1%)	31 (38.3%)	0.278
	duration	>7 days	3 (42.9%)	50 (61.7%)	0.278
		No	1 (14.3%)	39 (48.1%)	
	PICU admission	<3 days	1 (14.3%)	24 (29.6%)	0.017
		\geq 3 days	5 (71.4%)	18 (22.2%)	
MIS-C presentations	Fever duration >	Yes	5 (71.4%)	56 (69.1%)	0.624
	24 hours	No	2 (28.6%)	25 (10.9%)	0.634
	G 1	Yes	0 (0.0%)	11 (13.6%)	0.379
	Cough	No	7 (100%)	70 (86.4%)	
	Deal	Yes	4 (57.1%)	45 (55.6%)	0.627
	Rash	No	3 (42.9%)	36 (44.4%)	

LDH in MIS-C ICU admission

		Expiration	Survival			
Variable			(n=7)	(n=81)	P-value	
		Yes	2 (28.6%)	25 (30.9%)		
	Conjunctivitis	No	5 (71.4%)	56 (69.1%)	0.634	
		Yes	4 (57.1%)	42 (51.9%)		
	Gastroenteritis	No	3 (42.9%)	39 (48.1%)	0.551	
		Yes	2 (28.6%)	16 (19.8%)		
	Tachypnea	No	5 (71.4%)	65 (80.2%)	0.439	
		Yes	4 (57.1%)	30 (37.0%)		
	Tachycardia	No	3 (42.9%)	51 (63.0%)	0.256	
		Yes	4 (57.1%)	26 (32.1%)		
	Hypotension	No	3 (42.9%)	55 (67.9%)	0.176	
		Yes	2 (28.6%)	30 (37.0%)		
	Abdominal pain	No	5 (71.4%)	51 (63.0%)	0.499	
	COVID-19 RT-	Negative	2 (28.6%)	14 (18.5%)		
	PCR	Positive	5 (71.4%)	66 (81.5%)	0.380	
	COVID-19	Negative	7 (100%)	60 (74.1%)		
	serology	Positive	0 (0.0%)	21 (25.9%)	0.137	
	echocardiograph	Normal	4 (57.1%)	30 (37.0%)		
	v	In favor of MIS-C	3 (42.9%)	51 (63.0%)	0.256	
	5	Normal	1 (14.3%)	18 (22.2%)		
	WBC	Abnormal	6 (85.7%)	63 (77.8%)	0.529	
	BUN and	Normal	5 (71.4%)	73 (90.1%)		
	Creatinine	Abnormal	2 (28.6%)	8 (9.9%)	0.179	
	Serum Sodium	Normal	6 (85.7%)	73 (90.1%)		
	and Potassium	Abnormal	1 (14.3%)	8 (9.9%)	0.543	
	ESR and CRP	Normal	1 (14.3%)	6 (7.4%)		
Paraclinical		Abnormal	5 (71.4%)	75 (92.6%)	0.452	
studies	procalcitonin	Normal	2 (28.6%)	18 (22.2%)	0.700	
		Abnormal	5 (71.4%)	63 (77.8%)	0.502	
	D-Dimer	Normal	1 (14.3%)	13 (16.0%)		
		Abnormal	6 (85.7%)	68 (84.0%)	0.692	
	Liver	Normal	4 (57.1%)	69 (85.2%)	0.000	
	transaminases	Abnormal	3 (42.9%)	12 (14.8%)	0.093	
	Albumin	Normal	5 (71.4%)	63 (77.8%)		
-		Abnormal	2 (28.6%)	18 (22.2%)	0.502	
		Normal	1 (14.3%)	10 (12.3%)	0. (0.1	
	Ferritin	Abnormal	6 (85.7%)	71 (87.7%)	0.621	
	Troponin	Normal	1 (14.3%)	29 (35.8%)	0.239	
		Abnormal	6 (85.7%)	52 (64.2%)		
	LDU	Normal	0 (0.0%)	38 (46.9%)	0.01.5	
	LDH	Abnormal	7 (100%)	43 (53.1%)	0.016	
	NHC .	Received	2 (28.6%)	53 (65.4%)	0.001	
	IVIG	Did not receive	5 (71.4%)	28 (34.6%)	0.001	
Therapeutic		Received	2 (28.6%)	55 (67.9%)	0.070	
agents	Aspirin	Did not receive	5 (71.4%)	26 (32.1%)	- 0.050	
4	Enoxaparin	Received	1 (14.3%)	43 (53.1%)	0.055	

Variable			Expiration (n=7)	Survival (n=81)	P-value
		Did not receive	6 (85.7%)	38 (46.9%)	
	Corticosteroid	Received	5 (71.4%)	69 (85.2%)	0.308
		Did not receive	2 (28.6%)	12 (14.8%)	
	Dopamine	Received	4 (57.1%)	22 (27.2%)	0.111
		Did not receive	3 (42.9%)	59 (72.8%)	0.111

Table-3: Evaluation of the effects of demographic and clinical information of the MIS-C patients on PICU admission

Variable		No picu admission (n=40)	Picu <3 days (n=25)	Picu ≥ 3 days (n=23)	P- value	
Demographic information						
	0-1 year	5 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (4.3%)	0.049	
	2-5 years	18 (45.0%)	10 (40.0%)	6 (26.1%)		
Age	6-10 years	10 (25.0%)	13 (52.0%)	7 (30.4%)		
-	11-14 years	6 (15.0%)	1 (4.0%)	6 (26.1%)		
	15-18 years	1 (2.5%)	1 (4.0%)	3 (13.0%)		
Carr	Male	20 (50.0%)	16 (64.0%)	14 (60.9%)	0.497	
Sex	Female	20 (50.0%)	9 (36.0%)	9 (39.1%)	0.487	
	Underweight	10 (25.0%)	5 (20.0%)	6 (26.1%)		
Weight	Normal	26 (65.0%)	15 (60.0%)	15 (65.2%)	0.756	
0	Overweight	4 (10.0%)	5 (20.0%)	2 (34.8%)		
History of	Positive	4 (10.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (4.3%)	0.000	
prematurity	Negative	36 (90.0%)	25 (75.0%)	22 (95.7%)	0.226	
D ' 1'	Positive	10 (25.0%)	3 (12.0%)	2 (8.7%)	0.105	
Passive smoking	Negative	30 (75.0%)	22 (88.0%)	21 (91.3%)	0.185	
Breast milk	Yes	31 (77.5%)	24 (96.0%)	20 (87.0%)	0.110	
consumption	No	9 (22.5%)	1 (4.0%)	3 (13.0%)	0.119	
•	MIS	-C presentation		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Fever duration >	Yes	29 (72.5%)	15 (60.0%)	17 (73.9%)	0.407	
24 hours	No	11 (27.5%)	10 (40.0%)	6 (26.1%)	0.487	
0 1	Yes	7 (17.5%)	3 (12.0%)	1 (4.3%)	0.214	
Cough	No	33 (82.5%)	22 (88.0%)	22 (95.7%)	0.314	
D 1	Yes	22 (55.0%)	17 (68.0%)	10 (43.5%)	0.021	
Rash	No	18 (45.0%)	8 (32.0%)	13 (56.5%)	0.231	
Q · · · · · ·	Yes	13 (32.5%)	8 (32.0%)	6 (26.1%)	0.056	
Conjunctivitis	No	27 (67.5%)	17 (68.0%)	17 (73.9%)	0.856	
Gastroenteritis	Yes	16 (40.0%)	16 (64.0%)	14 (60.9%)	0.107	
	No	24 (60.0%)	9 (36.0%)	9 (39.1%)	0.107	
T 1	Yes	8 (20.0%)	7 (28.0%)	3 (13.0%)	0.427	
Tachypnea	No	32 (80.0%)	18 (72.0%)	20 (87.0%)	0.437	
T 1	Yes	11 (27.5%)	11 (44.0%)	12 (52.2%)	0.124	
Tachycardia	No	29 (72.5%)	14 (56.0%)	11 (47.8%)	0.124	
Hypotension	Yes	3 (7.5%)	15 (60.0%)	12 (52.2%)	< 0.001	

		No picu	Dian 2	Dian >2 dama	D	
Variable		admission	Picu <3	Picu ≥ 3 days	P-	
		(n=40)	days (n=25)	(n=23)	value	
	No	37 (92.5%)	10 (40.0%)	11 (47.8%)		
Abdominal nain	Yes	13 (32.5%)	9 (36.0%)	10 (43.5%)	0.683	
Abdominal pain	No	27 (67.5%)	16 (64.0%)	13 (56.5%)	0.085	
Paraclinical studies						
COVID-19 RT-	Negative	4 (10.0%)	5 (20.0%)	7 (30.4%)	0.102	
PCR	Positive	36 (90.0%)	20 (80.0%)	15 (65.2%)	0.102	
COVID-19	Negative	30 (75.0%)	18 (72.0%)	19 (82.6%)	0.672	
serology	Positive	10 (25.0%)	7 (28.0%)	4 (17.4%)	0.072	
achagerdiagraphy	Normal	5 (12.5%)	18 (72.0%)	11 (47.8%)	< 0.001	
echocardiography	In favor of MIS-C	35 (78.5%)	7 (28.0%)	12 (52.2%)	<0.001	
WBC	Normal	13 (32.5%)	4 (16.0%)	2 (8.7%)	0.063	
WDC	Abnormal	27 (67.5%)	21 (84.0%)	21 (91.3%)	0.005	
BUN and	Normal	37 (92.5%)	22 (88.0%)	19 (82.6%)	0.489	
Creatinine	Abnormal	3 (7.5%)	3 (12.0%)	4 (17.4%)	0.489	
Serum Sodium and	Normal	39 (97.5%)	19 (76.0%)	21 (91.3%)	0.020	
Potassium	Abnormal	1 (2.5%)	6 (24.0%)	2 (8.7%)	0.020	
ESR and CRP	Normal	3 (7.5%)	2 (8.0%)	2 (8.7%)	0.986	
ESK allu CKP	Abnormal	37 (92.5%)	23 (92.0%)	21 (91.3%)	0.960	
procelaitonin	Normal	15 (37.5%)	2 (8.0%)	3 (13.0%)	0.010	
procalcitonin	Abnormal	25 (62.5%)	23 (92.0%)	20 (87.0%)	0.010	
D-Dimer	Normal	12 (30.0%)	2 (8.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.003	
D-Diffici	Abnormal	28 (70.0%)	23 (92.0%)	23 (100%)	0.005	
Liver	Normal	37 (92.5%)	19 (76.0%)	17 (73.9%)	0.092	
transaminases	Abnormal	3 (7.5%)	6 (24.0%)	6 (26.1%)	0.092	
Albumin	Normal	34 (85.0%)	15 (60.0%)	19 (82.6%)	0.050	
Albuilli	Abnormal	6 (15.0%)	10 (40.0%)	4 (17.4%)	0.050	
Ferritin	Normal	7 (17.5%)	1 (4.0%)	3 (13.0%)	0.276	
I CITILIII	Abnormal	33 (82.5%)	24 (96.0%)	20 (87.0%)	0.270	
Troponin	Normal	23 (57.5%)	4 (16.0%)	3 (13.0%)	< 0.001	
Пороши	Abnormal	17 (42.5%)	21 (84.0%)	20 (87.0%)	<0.001	
LDH	Normal	20 (50.0%)	12 (48.0%)	6 (26.1%)	0.155	
LDII	Abnormal	20 (50.0%)	13 (52.0%)	17 (73.9%)	0.155	
		rapeutic agents	5	I	[
IVIG	Received	28 (70.0%)	16 (64.0%)	11 (47.8%)	0.261	
1 1 10	Did not receive	12 (30.0%)	9 (36.0%)	12 (52.2%)	0.201	
Aspirin	Received	29 (72.5%)	17 (68.0%)	11 (47.8%)	0.132	
7 Spirm	Did not receive	11 (27.5%)	8 (32.0%)	12 (52.2%)	0.132	
Enoxaparin	Received	15 (37.5%)	17 (68.0%)	12 (52.2%)	0.055	
Liioxapailli	Did not receive	25 (62.5%)	8 (32.0%)	11 (47.8%)	0.055	
Corticosteroid	Received	33 (82.5%)	23 (92.0%)	18 (78.3%)	0.401	
Contrologici Olu	Did not receive	7 (17.5%)	2 (8.0%)	5 (21.7%)		
Dopamine	Received	4 (10.0%)	15 (60.0%)	7 (30.4%)	< 0.001	
Dopannie	Did not receive	36 (90.0%)	10 (40.0%)	16 (69.6%)		

5- CONCLUSION

At the end, it is worth noting that MIS-C patients with higher LDH were more likely to experience mortality. And, LDH was not associated with higher ICU admissions. Then, we conclude that elevated lactate dehydrogenase level in patients with MIS-C is associated with higher risk of mortality consequently, this laboratory finding can be integrated in the criteria of assessing patients for PICU admission.

6- ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

This study was approved by the Committee of Ethics in Biomedical Research of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences by the code IR.SUMS.MED.REC.1400.176.

7- FUNDING

This article is derived from the dissertation of ME for the degree of specialty in pediatrics. The authors received a grant for this matter from Shiraz University of Medical Sciences under the code 22529.

8- CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

9- ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Deputy of Research and Technology in Shiraz University of Medical Sciences for their support.

10- AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

SHN and AA designed the study. ME, SA, and HE gathered the data. AA was responsible for data analysis. ME and AA wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All of the authors read the manuscript and revised it. The final form of the manuscript is accepted by all of the authors.

11- REFERENCES

1. Salian VS, Wright JA, Vedell PT, Nair S, Li C, Kandimalla M, Tang X, Carmona

Porquera EM, Kalari KR, Kandimalla KK. COVID-19 Transmission, Current Treatment, and Future Therapeutic Strategies. Mol Pharm. 2021 Mar 1; 18(3):754-771. doi: 10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.0c00608. Epub 2021 Jan 19. PMID: 33464914; PMCID: PMC7839412.

2. Rahman S, Montero MTV, Rowe K, Kirton R, Kunik F Jr. Epidemiology, pathogenesis, clinical presentations, diagnosis and treatment of COVID-19: a review of current evidence. Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol. 2021 May; 14(5):601-621. doi: 10.1080/17512433.2021.1902303. Epub 2021 May 3. PMID: 33705239; PMCID: PMC8095162.

 3. Hu B, Huang S, Yin L. The cytokine storm and COVID-19. J Med Virol. 2021

 Jan;
 93(1):250-256.

 doi:
 10.1002/jmv.26232.

 Epub 2020
 Sep 30.

 PMID:
 32592501;

 PMCID:
 PMC7361342.

4. Hoste L, Van Paemel R, Haerynck F. Multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children related to COVID-19: a systematic review. Eur J Pediatr. 2021 Jul; 180(7):2019-2034. doi: 10.1007/s00431-021-03993-5. Epub 2021 Feb 18. PMID: 33599835; PMCID: PMC7890544.

5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children (MIS-C) Associated with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). 2020 December 23, 2022; Available from: https://emergency.cdc.gov/han/2020/ han00432.asp.

6. World Health Organization. Multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children and adolescents temporally related to COVID-19. 2020 December 23, 2022; Available from: https://www.who.int/newsroom/commentaries/detail/multisysteminflammatory-syndrome-in-children-andadolescents-with-covid-19. 7. Santos MO, Gonçalves LC, Silva PAN, Moreira ALE, Ito CRM, Peixoto FAO, Wastowski IJ, Carneiro LC, Avelino MAG. Multisystem inflammatory syndrome (MIS-C): a systematic review meta-analysis clinical and of characteristics, treatment, and outcomes. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2022 Jul-Aug; 98(4):338-349. doi: 10.1016/j.jped.2021.08.006. Epub 2021 Dec 3. PMID: 34863701; PMCID: PMC9432310.

8. Jiang L, Tang K, Irfan O, Li X, Zhang E, Bhutta Z. Epidemiology, Clinical Features, and Outcomes of Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children (MIS-C) and Adolescents-a Live Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Curr Pediatr Rep. 2022; 10(2):19-30. doi: 10.1007/s40124-022-00264-1. Epub 2022 May 6. PMID: 35540721; PMCID: PMC9072767.

9. La Torre F, Taddio A, Conti C, Cattalini M. Multi-Inflammatory Syndrome in Children (MIS-C) in 2023: Is It Time to Forget about It? Children (Basel). 2023 May 31; 10(6):980. doi: 10.3390/children10060980. PMID: 37371212; PMCID: PMC10297102.

10. Cetin BS, Kısaarslan AP, Tekin S, Goksuluk MB, Baykan A, Akyıldız BN, Seçilmiş Y, Poyrazoglu H, On Behalf Of The Erciyes Mis-C Study Group. Evaluation of Baseline Characteristics and Prognostic Factors in Multi Systemic Inflammatory Syndrome in Children: Is It Possible to Foresee the Prognosis in the First Step? J Clin Med. 2022 Aug 8; 11(15):4615. doi: 10.3390/jcm11154615. PMID: 35956234; PMCID: PMC9369528.

11. Angurana SK, Awasthi P, Thakur A, Randhawa MS, Nallasamy K, Kumar MR, Naganur S, Kumar M, Goyal K, Ghosh A, Bansal A, Jayashree M. Intensive Care Needs and Short-Term Outcome of Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children (MIS-C): Experience from North India. J Trop Pediatr. 2021 Jul 2; 67(3):fmab055. 10.1093/tropej/fmab055.

10.1093/tropej/fmab055. PMID: 34170328; PMCID: PMC8344677.

doi:

12. Alkan G, Sert A, Oz SKT, Emiroglu M, Yılmaz R. Clinical features and outcome of MIS-C patients: an experience from Central Anatolia. Clin Rheumatol. 2021 Oct; 40(10):4179-4189. doi: 10.1007/s10067-021-05754-z. Epub 2021 May 6. PMID: 33956250; PMCID: PMC8100744.