

Prophylactic Efficacy of Cinnarizine versus Propranolol in the Treatment of Childhood Migraine: A Single-Blind Randomized Clinical Trial

Zahra Alebrahim¹, *Ali Reza Moayedi¹, Abdolmajid Nazemi¹, Abdolhossein Madani², Maryam Montaseri²

¹Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences, Bandar Abbas, Iran.

²Social Determinants in Health Promotion Research Center, Hormozgan Health Institute, Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences, Bandar Abbas, Iran.

Abstract

Background

Although the development of effective and safe treatments for prophylaxis of migraine headaches represents an important public health concern, only a few medications have been approved by the specific treatment of patients with migraine. We aimed to compare the efficacy of cinnarizine with propranolol in the prophylaxis of pediatric migraine headache.

Materials and Methods

In a Randomized Clinical Trial, children aged 6-14 years were selected from the patients with migraine admitted to the neurology clinic of Bandar Abbas pediatric Hospital, affiliated to Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences, Bandar Abbas, Iran. Eligible patients (n, 56) were randomly divided into two groups, each comprising 28 patients: the first group administered cinnarizine (37.5 mg/day in children aged 6–12 years and 50 mg/day in children aged 12–17 years), and the second group received propranolol (1-mg/kg/day). The frequency, severity of headaches over the trial period were assessed.

Results: After two months of treatment, both groups had significant reduction in headache frequency in comparison with baseline period (p=0.047), although this difference between groups was not statistically significant. In addition, the mean severe migraine attacks at the end of the second month was significantly lower in the cinnarizine group compared with the propranolol group (p=0.048). At the end of the study 64% (n=18) of patients who had received the cinnarizine and 57% (n=16) of patients who had administered the propranolol, the drugs appeared to have a preventative effect on their headaches.

Conclusion: According to the results, Cinnarizine appeared as effective as propranolol for the prophylactic treatment of childhood migraine.

Key Words: Children, Cinnarizine, Headache, Migraine, Propranolol.

<u>*Please cite this article as</u>: Alebrahim Z, Moayedi AR, Nazemi A, Madani A, Montaseri M. Prophylactic Efficacy of Cinnarizine versus Propranolol in the Treatment of Childhood Migraine: A Single-Blind Randomized Clinical Trial. Int J Pediatr 2019; 7(1): 8907-13. DOI: **10.22038/ijp.2018.34534.3036**

*Corresponding Author:

Ali Reza Moayedi (M.D), Associate Professor of Pediatric Neurology, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences, Bandar Abbas, Iran.

Email: armoyedi@yahoo.com

Received date: Jul.25, 2018; Accepted date: Aug.22, 2018

1- INTRODUCTION

Migraine is characterized by enhanced sensitivity of the nervous system that may be moderate to severe in intensity and is often associated with nausea, vomiting and heightened sensitivity to sound and light (1). Migraine headaches are a painful and debilitating disorder in childhood and are a frequent reason for referral to child neurology clinics (2-4). The prevalence of migraine in children is estimated at 7.7%. Approximately 10% of children aged 5-15 years suffer from migraine headaches (5). can Migraine negatively impact on patient's life through interruption of daily absence from school. activities. and restriction of social activities (2).

When the headache attacks are frequent (<1 headache per week), or there are more than one disabling headache a month (Pediatric Migraine Disability Assessment [PedMIDAS] score > 20), prophylactic therapy is necessary. The purpose of preventive therapy should to be to reduce frequency (1-2 > headaches per month), and disability (PedMIDAS score <10) (1, 6). Although the development of effective and safe treatments for prophylaxis of represents migraine headaches an important public health concern, only a few medications have been approved by the specific treatment of patients with migraine Propranolol (7-10). is а nonselective β -blocker that is primarily used to treat hypertension. There is quality evidence that propranolol is also an effective preventive agent for migraine headaches. It is one of the most commonly prescribed drugs for migraine prophylaxis (8). Cinnarizine inhibits smooth muscle cell contraction in the vasculature by blocking L-and T-type voltage-gated calcium channel. It has also been implicated in binding to histamine H1 receptors, muscarinic receptors, and dopamine receptors D2 and has antihistaminic actions (9, 13).

These mechanisms potentially can effects contribute preventive on to migraine headaches. The efficacy and safety of cinnarizine in the prophylactic treatment of migraine have been demonstrated in a numerous experimental studies (14-21). Therefore, we performed a compare the efficacy study to of cinnarizine with propranolol in the pediatric migraine prophylaxis of headache.

2- MATERIALS AND METHODS

2-1. Study design and population

We conducted a single-blind randomized clinical trial (TCTR20180903005) to evaluate the effect to compare the efficacy of cinnarizine versus propranolol in the prophylaxis of pediatric migraine headache. Our cases were selected from the patients with alleged diagnosis of migraine admitted to neurology clinic of Bandar Abbas pediatric Hospital. Hormozgan affiliated to University of Medical Sciences, Bandar Abbas city, South West of Iran, from September 2017 to February 2018. Based on the previous research findings, the necessary sample size was calculated to be at least 29 patients in each treatment group to provide 80% power to detect an odds ratio of 2.5 at the 5% level.

2-2. Measuring tools: validity and reliability

All patients and their parents were asked to maintain a headache diary. They were asked to record all the features of the headache experience. Headache severity recorded on a three scale, and was defined as mild (no effect on daily activities), moderate (disrupts daily activities), severe (requiring immediate intervention and treatment) (1, 22). Furthermore, patients and their parents were asked to record adverse effects related to both drugs. The data were collected at the end of each month during the treatment phase. The goal of prevention therapy is reduction of headache frequency (moderate migraine attacks were equal to 2 or less than $2 \ge$) and improvement in headache-related disability (no severe migraine attacks) (1).

2-3. Intervention

Four weeks before initiation of medication researcher-administered standard а questionnaire was used as a data collecting tool in which all the parents were asked children's about their baseline characteristics and clinical details of the patients such as frequency, severity and duration of headache. After obtaining the patient consent, the eligible patients were randomly divided into two groups by a simple randomization technique. Even numbers were allocated to cinnarizine (Osvah Pharmaceutical Company, tablet 25 mg) in a dosage of 37.5 mg/day in children aged 6-12 years and 50 mg/day in children aged 12-17 years, and odd received numbers 1-mg/kg/day (Abidi Pharmaceutical propranolol Company, tablet 20 mg), which continued till the 2-month follow-up (1).

2-4. Ethical consideration

A patient screening form was used to assess the presence or absence of inclusion and exclusion criteria after obtaining an informed written consent from the parents of the participating children. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences (Ref. no: HUMS.REC.1397. 1).

2-5. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Children aged 6-14 years who had migraine with and without aura, defined by the International Headache Society criteria for migraine (22), were enrolled into the study. All patients experienced one or more headaches per week for at least 6 months or had severe disabling or intolerable headache before entry into the study. In addition, participants meeting the following criteria were excluded: children

had complicated migraine who that required medications other than analgesics, past treatment with migraine prophylactic increasing persistent headache, agent. comorbid conditions such as seizures, abnormal neuroimaging, history of epilepsy, and history of contraindications cinnarizine to or propranolol administration

2-6. Data Analyses

The mean (±standard deviation [SD]) frequency, intensity, and duration of migraine headaches at the end of each month were determined. A paired sample t test or, if conditions for its use were not valid. Wilcoxon's test were used to determine the differences in these parameters between each follow-up visit. For qualitative data, we used Fisher exact test or the Mann-Whitney U test, when appropriate. P < 0.05 was considered significant. To reduce the bias in analysis, all data were analyzed by a biostatistician who was not aware of the study groups.

3- RESULTS

3-1. General Results

A total of 58 children with migraine were entered in the study, and 56 patients (40 males, 16 females) completed the study. Two children (one patient from each group) were dropped because they did not cooperate with the study protocol (Figure. 1). The ages of children ranged from 7 to 13 years with an average of 9.25 \pm 1.8 vears. The baseline characteristics of the children are summarized in **Table.1**. There were no differences in most of the Patients' characteristics between the two groups (Table.1). At the end of first month of intervention, migraine frequency (mean number of headache attacks per month) in cinnarizine group was increased from 7.36 (± 1.85) at baseline to 8.7 (± 4.57) whereas slightly decreased from 7.93 (± 2.69) to 7.8 (± 4.36) in the propranolol group, although this difference between groups was not statistically significant (p=0.46) (Table.2). After 2 months of treatment, both groups had significant reduction in headache frequency in comparison with baseline period (p=0.047), so that migraine frequency in cinnarizine group was decreased from 7.36 (± 1.85) to 1.1 (± 0.7), and that of the propranolol group from 7.93 (± 2.69) to 0.66 (± 0.54), although this between difference groups was not 0.059) statistically significant (p= (Table.2). According to Tabe.2, in the cinnarizine group, mean number of mild migraine attacks was increased at the end of the first and second months. Mean mild migraine attacks in propranolol group was increased at the end of the first month and slightly reduced in the second month. Both cinnarizine and propranolol effectively reduced the mean number of moderate and migraine severe attacks after drug treatment for 2 months compared to baseline period (Table.2). The propranolol

group was significantly more effective in reducing the number of mild (p=0.002), and moderate (p=0.038) headache attacks at 2 months compared with cinnarizine group. However, the mean number of severe migraine attacks at the end of the second month was significantly lower in the cinnarizine group compared with the propranolol group (p=0.048) (Table.2). At the end of the second months, 18 patients of 28 who had received the cinnarizine (64%), and 16 of 28 who had administered the propranolol (57%), the drugs appeared to have a preventative effect on their eadaches. There was no statistically significant difference in the effectiveness of the prophylactic effect between the two drugs (p=0.58).

3-2. Adverse effects

None of the patients discontinued medication because of adverse effects.



Fig1: Flow Diagram of the study protocol.

Table-1: Baseline	characteristics of the	e children in the ty	vo treatment	groups in the	pre randomization
phase					

Characteristics		Cinnarizine Number (%)	Propranolol Number (%)	P-value	
Candan	Male	19 (33.93)	21 (37.5)	0.77	
Gender	Female	9 (16.07)	9 (16.07) 7 (12.5)		
Mi angina tamag	With Aura	4 (7.14)	11 (19.64)	0.069	
Migraine types	Without Aura	24 (42.86)	17 (30.36)	0.068	
Migraina form	Pulsating	28 (50)	25 (44.64)	0.236	
Migrame form	Non- Pulsating	0	3 (5.36)		
Latanaliaatian	Unilateral	18 (32.14)	9 (16.07)	0.031	
Lateralisation	Bilateral	10 (17.86)	19 (33.93)		
	Frontal	6 (10.71)	6 (1071)		
Doin le setien	Temporal	17 (30.36)	20 (35.71)	0.50	
Pain location	Occipital	1 (1.79)	0	0.59	
	Generalize	4 (7.14)	2 (3.57)		
Namaa and/an maniting	Yes	26 (46.43)	24 (42.86)	0.67	
Nausea and/or vomiting	No	2 (3.57)	4 (7.14)		
Photophobia and	Yes	14 (25)	12 (21.43)	0.70	
Phonophobia	No	14 (25)	16 (28.57)	0.79	
Aggravation by physical	Yes	24 (42.86)	19 (33.93)	0.21	
activity	No	4 (7.14)	9 (16.07)		
Family history of	Yes	25 (44.64)	28 (50)	0.24	
headache	No	3 (5.36)	0		
Family history of	Yes	24 (42.86)	26 (46.43)	0.67	
migraine	No	4 (7.14)	2 (3.57)		
	0-6 AM	0	0		
Headache attacks in 24	6-12 PM	2 (3.57)	0	0.014	
hours	12-6 PM	20 (35.71)	12 (21.43)		
	6-12 PM	6 (10.71)	16 (28.57)		
	0-6	7 (12.5)	4 (7.14)		
Headache history	6-12	19 (33.93)	18 (32.14)	0.32	
(Month)	12-24	2 (3.57)	4 (7.14)		
	> 24	0	2 (3.57)		
Duration of mighting	30-60	16 (28.57)	16 (28.57)		
outration of migraine	60-120	2 (3.57)	6 (10.71)	0.28	
attacks (willute)	> 120	10 (17.86)	10 (17.86)		

* P- values ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Table-2: T	The frequency	of migraine	headache attacks
------------	---------------	-------------	------------------

Scale of headache	Prescribed	Mean number of migraine attacks (± SD)			
intensity	drugs	Before treatment	First Month	Second Month	
Mild	Cinnarizine	0.43 (±0.79)	0.86 (±1.15)	1.04 (±1.23)	
	Propranolol	0.36 (±0.83)	1.67 (±1.89)	0.25 (±0.44)	
p- value		0.74	0.054	0.002*	
Moderate	Cinnarizine	4.43 (±2.89)	4.79 (±3.98)	2.82 (±4.42)	
	Propranolol	4.5 (±2.8)	3.04 (± 3.02)	0.96 (±1.4)	
p- value		0.93	0.07	0.038*	
Severe	Cinnarizine	2.5 (±2.89)	3.11 (±2.85)	0.29 (±0.66)	
	Propranolol	3.07 (±2.98)	3.15 (±1.86)	1.35 (±2.74)	
p- value		0.47	0.96	0.048*	
Total headaches with	Cinnarizine	7.36 (±1.85)	8.7 (±4.58)	1.1 (±0.7)	
any intensity	Propranolol	7.93 (±2.69)	7.8 (±4.36)	0.66 (±0.59)	
p- value		0.36	0.46	0.059	

*p- values ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant; SD: Standard deviation.

4- DISCUSSION

In the current study, we evaluated the efficacy of cinnarizine versus propranolol in chidren with migraine headaches. The results have shown that both cinnarizine and propranolol effectively reduced the number of migraine attacks after treatment for 2 months compared to baseline period. The propranolol group was significantly more effective in reducing the number of mild and moderate headache attacks (p=0.002, p=0.0368, respectively); while the mean number of severe migraine attacks was significantly lower in the cinnarizine group (p=0.048). Cinnarizine is a medication derivative of piperazine and it is characterized as an antihistamine. It was assumed that its underlying mechanisms are probably due to blockade of serotonin or Calcium ions (Ca^{2+}) channels (23). In some different recent studies, authors concluded that cinnarizine is an effective and well option for treatment of migraine. In similar investigation, Togha et al. conducted a clinical trial to evaluate the efficacy and safety of cinnarizine versus propranolol in the prophylaxis headaches in children, in both treatment groups, the frequency, intensity of attacks significantly decreased (17). In another study they designed a trial to assess the efficacy and safety of the cinnarizine in patients with migraine who were refractory to propranolol and tricyclic antidepressants in comparison with sodium valproate. Their results showed that both drugs caused the reduction of attacks, intensity, and duration of headaches (15). Bostani et al. compared the efficacy and safety of cinnarizine and sodium valproate in migraine prophylaxis. They found significantly decrease headache duration and headache frequency among those treated with both drugs (16). A controlled study was conducted by Ashrafi et al. to demonstrate the efficacy and safety of cinnarizine in the prophylaxis of migraine in children. Results of this study indicate the use of cinnarizine is effective and safe for prophylaxis of migraine headaches in children (18). An open-label trial on 80 patients treated with cinnarizine, 55 of them indicated a greater than 66% reduction in frequency of headaches (14). Our findings are consistent with mentioned previous studies indicating that cinnarizine is a safe and effective medication for children with migraine.

5- CONCLUSION

Both cinnarizine and propranolol drugs demonstrated efficacy in reducing frequency severity of and headache attacks and the prevention of migraine headaches among the children who suffer from migraine. Although more extensive studies are still required for final statements, the present finding suggests that cinnarizine as effective as propranolol the prophylactic treatment for of childhood migraine.

6- CONFLICT OF INTEREST: None.

7- ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to all parents and patients for their participation in this study and Mrs. Miri for her help in collecting cases. This article is a part of the first author's dissertation for fulfillment of a Specialist in Pediatric from Department of Medicine. Pediatrics. Faculty of Hormozgan University Medical of Sciences, Bandar Abbas, Iran. This study was supported by Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences (HUMS).

8- REFERENCES

1. Kliegman RM, Behrman RE, Jenson HB, Stanton BM. Nelson textbook of pediatrics .20th ed. Canada: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2015: 2864-73.

2. Barnes NP. Migraine headache in children. BMJ Clin Evid. 2011;0318.

3. Qubty W, Gelfand AA. Psychological and behavioral issues in the management of

migraine in children and adolescents. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2016;20(12):69.

4. Guidetti V, Faedda N, Siniatchkin M. Migraine in childhood: biobehavioural or psychosomatic disorder. J Headache Pain. 2016;17:82.

5. Abu- Arafeh I, Razak S, Sivaraman B, Graham C. Prevalence of headache and migrainein children and adolescents: A systematic review of population- based studies. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2010;52(12):1088-97.

6. Hershey A, Powers S, Vockell A-L, LeCates S, Kabbouche M, Maynard M. PedMIDAS development of a questionnaire to assess disability of migraines in children. Neurology. 2001;57(11):2034-39.

7. Richer L, Billinghurst L, Linsdell MA, Russell K, Vandermeer B, Crumley ET, et al. Drugs for the acute treatment of migraine in children and adolescents. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2016(4) : CD005220.

8. Teleanu RI, Vladacenco O, Teleanu DM, Epure DA. Treatment of Pediatric Migraine: a Review. Mædica. 2016; 11(2): 136–43.

9. Sprenger T, Viana M, Tassorelli C. Current Prophylactic Medications for Migraine and Their Potential Mechanisms of Action. Neurotherapeutics. 2018:15(2):313-23.

10. Huang TC, Lai TH, Wang SJ, Wang PJ, Wang YF, Lee LH, Lin KC, Wu ZA, Shih CS, Hsu YC, Chen YY. Medical Treatment Guidelines for Preventive Treatment of Migraine. Acta Neurol Taiwan. 2017; 26(1):33-5.

11. Linde K, Rossnagel K. Propranolol for migraine prophylaxis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2004(2): CD003225.

12. Petkov G, Fusi F, Saponara S, Gagov H, Sgaragli G, Boev K. Characterization of voltage- gated calcium currents in freshly isolated smooth muscle cells from rat tail main artery. Acta Physiol Scand Suppl. 2001;173(3):257-65.

13. Kirtane MV, Bhandari A, Narang P, Santani R. Cinnarizine: A Contemporary Review. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2017: 0-017-1120-27.

14. Rossi P, Fiermonte G, Pierelli F. Cinnarizine in migraine prophylaxis: efficacy, tolerability and predictive factors for therapeutic responsiveness. An open-label pilot trial. Funct Neurol. 2003;18(3):155-60.

15. Togha M, Jirde MR, Nilavari K, Ashrafian H, Razeghi S, Kohan L. Cinnarizine in refractory migraine prophylaxis: efficacy and tolerability. A comparison with sodium valproate. J Headache Pain. 2008;9(2):77-82.

16. Bostani A, Rajabi A, Moradian N, Razazian N, Rezaei M. The effects of cinnarizine versus sodium valproate in migraine prophylaxis. Int J Neurosci. 2013;123(7):487-93.

17. Togha M, Malamiri RA, Rashidi-Ranjbar N, Asa S, Mahvelati F, Ashrafi MR. Efficacy and safety of cinnarizine in the prophylaxis of migraine headaches in children: an open, randomized comparative trial with propranolol. Acta Neurol Belg. 2012;112(1):51-5.

18. Ashrafi MR, Salehi S, Malamiri RA, Heidari M, Hosseini SA, Samiei M, et al. Efficacy and safety of cinnarizine in the prophylaxis of migraine in children: a doubleblind placebo-controlled randomized trial. Pediatr Neurol. 2014;51(4):503-8.

19. Teggi R, Colombo B, Gatti O, Comi G, Bussi M. Fixed combination of cinnarizine and dimenhydrinate in the prophylactic therapy of vestibular migraine: an observational study. Neurol Sci. 2015;36(10):1869-73.

20. Taghdiri F, Togha M, Jahromi SR, Refaeian F. Cinnarizine for the prophylaxis of migraine associated vertigo: a retrospective study. SpringerPlus. 2014;3(1):231.

21. Togha M, Ashrafian H, Tajik P. Open- Label Trial of Cinnarizine in Migraine Prophylaxis. Headache: J Headache Pain. 2006;46(3):498-502.

22. Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS). The international classification of headache disorders, (beta version). Cephalalgia. 2013;33(9): 629-808.

23. Yuan H, Silberstein SD. Histamine and Migraine. Headache. 2018;58(1):184-93.